arXiv:1212.6720v1 [math.QA] 30 Dec 2012

QUASI–COXETER CATEGORIES AND A RELATIVE ETINGOF–KAZHDAN QUANTIZATION FUNCTOR

ANDREA APPEL AND VALERIO TOLEDANO LAREDO

Abstract. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a symmetrizable Kac–Moody algebra and $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ its quantized enveloping algebra. The quantum Weyl group operators of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ and the universal R–matrices of its Levi subalgebras endow $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ with a natural quasi–Coxeter quasitriangular quasibialgebra structure which underlies the action of the braid group of $\mathfrak{g}$ and Artin's braid groups on the tensor product of integrable, category $\mathcal{O}$ modules. We show that this structure can be transferred to the universal enveloping algebra $U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]$. The proof relies on a modification of the Etingof–Kazhdan quantization functor, and yields an isomorphism between (appropriate completions of) $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ and $U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]$ preserving a given chain of Levi subalgebras. We carry it out in the more general context of chains of Manin triples, and obtain in particular a relative version of the Etingof–Kazhdan functor with input a split pair of Lie bialgebras. Along the way, we develop the notion of quasi–Coxeter categories, which are to generalized braid groups what braided tensor categories are to Artin's braid groups. This leads to their succint description as a 2–functor from a 2–category whose morphisms are De Concini–Procesi associahedra. These results will be used in the sequel to this paper to give a monodromic description of the quantum Weyl group operators of an affine Kac–Moody algebra, extending the one obtained by the second author for a semisimple Lie algebra.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Diagrams and nested sets 5
3. Quasi–Coxeter categories 9
4. Etingof-Kazhdan Quantization 17
5. Generalized Etingof–Kazhdan functor 28
6. Quantization of Verma modules 40
7. Universal relative Verma modules 43
8. Chains of Manin triples 49
9. An equivalence of quasi–Coxeter categories 51
References 56

Date: December 30, 2012.

Both authors were supported in part through the NSF grant DMS–0854792.

1. Introduction

1.1. This is the first of a series of three papers the aim of which is to extend the description of the monodromy of the rational Casimir connection in terms of quantum Weyl group operators given in [TL3, TL4, TL5] to the case of an affine Kac–Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

The method we follow is close to that of [TL4], and relies on the notion of a quasi–Coxeter quasitriangular quasibialgebra (qCqtqba), which is informally a bialgebra carrying actions of a given generalized braid group and Artin's braid groups on the tensor products of its modules. A cohomological rigidity result, proved in the second paper of this series [ATL2], shows that there is at most one such structure with prescribed local monodromies on the classical enveloping algebra $U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]$. It follows that the generalized braid group actions arising from quantum Weyl groups and the monodromy of the Casimir connection [ATL3] are equivalent, provided the quasi–Coxeter quasitriangular quasibialgebra structure responsible for the former can be transferred from $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ to $U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]$. This result is the purpose of the present article.

1.2. Its proof differs substantially from that given in [TL4] in that, for a semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, the transfer of structure ultimately rests on the vanishing of the first and second Hochschild cohomology groups of $U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]$, and in particular on the fact that $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ and $U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]$ are isomorphic as algebras, a fact which does not hold for affine Kac–Moody algebras. Rather than the cohomological methods of [TL4], we use instead the Etingof–Kazhdan (EK) quantization functor [EK1, EK2, EK6], which yields a canonical isomorphism

$$\Psi^{\mathrm{EK}}:\widehat{U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}}\to\widehat{U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]}$$

between the completions of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ and $U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]$ with respect to category $\mathcal{O}$.

Surprisingly perhaps, and despite its functorial construction, the isomorphism Ψ^{EK} does not preserve the inclusions of Levi subalgebras

$$U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_D\subseteq U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}\qquad\text{and}\qquad U\mathfrak{g}_D[[\hbar]]\subseteq U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]$$

determined by a subdiagram D of the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$, something which is required by the transfer of structure. The bulk of this paper is therefore devoted to modifying Ψ^{EK} so that it is compatible with such inclusions.

1.3. To outline our construction, which works more generally for an inclusion $(\mathfrak{g}_D,\mathfrak{g}_{D,-},\mathfrak{g}_{D,+})\subset(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}_-,\mathfrak{g}_+)$ of Manin triples over a field k of characteristic zero, recall that the main step in the EK quantization of $\mathfrak{g}$ is the construction of a tensor functor F^{EK} from the Drinfeld category $\mathcal{D}_\Phi(\mathfrak{g})$ of (equicontinuous) $\mathfrak{g}$–modules with associativity constraints given by a fixed Lie associator Φ, to the category $\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathsf{k}[[\hbar]]}$ of topologically free

$\mathsf{k}[[\hbar]]$–modules. The quantization $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ is then essentially defined as the Hopf algebra of endomorphisms of the fibre functor F^{EK}.[1]

To construct an isomorphism compatible with the inclusion $i_D : \mathfrak{g}_D \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, we first construct a *relative* fiber functor, that is a tensor functor Γ_D on $\mathcal{D}_\Phi(\mathfrak{g})$ whose target category is $\mathcal{D}_\Phi(\mathfrak{g}_D)$ rather than $\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathsf{k}[[\hbar]]}$, and which is isomorphic as abelian functor, to the restriction i_D^*. Denoting by F_D^{EK} the Etingof–Kazhdan fiber functor for $\mathfrak{g}_D$, we then show the existence of a natural transformation between the composition $F_D^{\mathrm{EK}} \circ \Gamma_D$ and F^{EK}. This yields the sought for inclusion

$$U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_D = \mathrm{End}(F_D^{\mathrm{EK}}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}(F_D^{\mathrm{EK}} \circ \Gamma_D) \cong \mathrm{End}(F^{\mathrm{EK}}) = U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$$

1.4. The construction of the functor Γ_D is very much inspired by [EK1]. The principle adopted by Etingof and Kazhdan is the following. In a k-linear monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$, a coalgebra structure on an object $C \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$ induces a tensor structure on the Yoneda functor

$$h_C = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, -) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathsf{k}}$$

If $\mathcal{C}$ is braided and C_1, C_2 are coalgebra objects in $\mathcal{C}$, then so is $C_1 \otimes C_2$, and there is therefore a canonical tensor structure on $h_{C_1 \otimes C_2}$.

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is finite–dimensional, the polarization $U\mathfrak{g} \simeq M_- \otimes M_+$, where $M_\pm$ are the Verma modules $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_\mp}^{\mathfrak{g}} \mathsf{k}$, realizes $U\mathfrak{g}$ as the tensor product of two coalgebra objects in $\mathrm{Rep}_\Phi(U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]])$. This yields a tensor structure on the forgetful functor

$$h_{U\mathfrak{g}} : \mathrm{Rep}_\Phi(U\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]] \to \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathsf{k}[[\hbar]]}$$

Our starting point is to apply the same principle to the (abelian) restriction functor $i_D^* : \mathrm{Rep}_\Phi(U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]) \to \mathrm{Rep}_\Phi(U\mathfrak{g}_D[[\hbar]])$. We therefore factorize $U\mathfrak{g}$ as a tensor product of two coalgebra objects L_-, N_+ in the braided monoidal category of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_D)$–bimodules, with associator $(\Phi \cdot \Phi_D^{-1})$, where Φ_D^{-1} acts on the right. Just as the modules M_-, M_+ are related to the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_+$, L_- and N_+ are related to the asymmetric decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m}_- \oplus \mathfrak{p}_+$$

where $\mathfrak{m}_- = \mathfrak{g}_- \cap \mathfrak{g}_{D,+}^\perp$ and $\mathfrak{p}_+ = \mathfrak{g}_D \oplus \mathfrak{m}_+$. This factorization induces a tensor structure on the functor $\Gamma_D = h_{L_- \otimes N_+}$, canonically isomorphic to i_D^* through the right $\mathfrak{g}_D$–action on $L_- \otimes N_+$. As in [EK1, Part II], this tensor structure can also be defined in the infinite–dimensional case.

---

[1] if $\mathfrak{g}$ is infinite–dimensional, $\mathsf{End}(F^{\mathrm{EK}})$ is only a topological bialgebra. To remedy this, Etingof–Kazhdan first obtain a quantization of $U\mathfrak{g}_-[[\hbar]]$ inside $\mathsf{End}(F^{\mathrm{EK}})$, and then define $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ as the quantum double of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_-$. We will gloss over this point in the Introduction and argue as if $\mathfrak{g}$ were finite–dimensional.

1.5. To construct an isomorphism $F_D^{\mathrm{EK}}\circ\Gamma_D\cong F^{\mathrm{EK}}$, we note that the functors $F^{\mathrm{EK}}, F_D^{\mathrm{EK}}$ factor through the categories $\mathrm{Rep}(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathrm{Rep}(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_D)$ respectively, thus yielding a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D}_\Phi(\mathfrak{g}) \arrow[rr, "F^{\mathrm{EK}}"] \arrow[dr, "F^{\mathrm{EK}}"'] \arrow[dd, "\Gamma_D"'] & {} \arrow[d, Rightarrow] & \mathrm{Rep}(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}) \arrow[dl] \\
{} \arrow[r, dashed] & \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathsf{k}[[\hbar]]} & \\
\mathcal{D}_\Phi(\mathfrak{g}_D) \arrow[rr, "F_D^{\mathrm{EK}}"'] \arrow[ur, "F_D^{\mathrm{EK}}"] & {} \arrow[u, Rightarrow] & \mathrm{Rep}(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_D) \arrow[ul]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the diagonal arrows on the right–hand side are the forgetful functors to $\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathsf{k}[[\hbar]]}$, and the dotted horizontal arrow on the left–hand side is the sought for natural transformation. Since the EK quantization is functorial with respect to morphisms of Manin triples such as the inclusion $i_D:\mathfrak{g}_D\to\mathfrak{g}$, the rightmost triangle can be filled in by adding the quantized pull–back functor $(i_D)_\hbar^*:\mathrm{Rep}(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g})\to\mathrm{Rep}(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_D)$, and we are reduced to constructing a natural transformation making the following diagram commute

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D}_\Phi(\mathfrak{g}) \arrow[r, "F^{\mathrm{EK}}"] \arrow[d, "\Gamma_D"'] & \mathrm{Rep}(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}) \arrow[d, "(i_D)_\hbar^*"] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow] \\
\mathcal{D}_\Phi(\mathfrak{g}_D) \arrow[r, "F_D^{\mathrm{EK}}"'] & \mathrm{Rep}(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_D)
\end{tikzcd}$$

To then remark, as suggested to us by P. Etingof, that a quantum analogue $\Gamma_D^\hbar$ of Γ_D can be similarly defined using a quantum version $L_-^\hbar, N_+^\hbar$ of the modules L_-, N_+. The functor $\Gamma_D^\hbar=\mathrm{Hom}_{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}}(L_-^\hbar\otimes N_+^\hbar,-)$ is naturally isomorphic to $(i_D)_\hbar^*$ as tensor functor, since there is no associator involved on this side. Moreover, an identification

$$F_D^{EK}\circ\Gamma_D\simeq\Gamma_D^\hbar\circ F^{EK}$$

is readily obtained, provided one establishes isomorphisms of $(U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}, U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_D)$–bimodules

$$F_D^{EK}\circ F^{EK}(L_-)\simeq L_-^\hbar \qquad \text{and} \qquad F_D^{EK}\circ F^{EK}(N_+)\simeq N_+^\hbar$$

1.6. While for $M_\pm$ it is easy to construct an isomorphism between $F^{EK}(M_\pm)$ and the quantum counterparts of $M_\pm$, the proof for L_-, N_+ is more involved. It relies on a description of the quantization functor F^{EK} in terms of Prop categories (cf. [EK2, EG]) and the realization of L_-, N_+ as universal objects in a suitable colored Prop describing the inclusion of bialgebras $\mathfrak{g}_D\subset\mathfrak{g}$.

This yields in particular a relative extension of the EK functor with input a pair of Lie bialgebras $\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}$ which is *split*, *i.e.,* endowed with maps $\mathfrak{a}\leftrightarrows^{i}_{p}\mathfrak{b}$ such that $p\circ i=\mathrm{id}$.

1.7. Given that we work throughout with completions of algebras obtained as endomorphisms of fiber functors, the transfer structure from $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ to $U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]$ is more conveniently phrased in terms of categories. Part of this paper is therefore devoted to rephrasing the definition of quasi–Coxeter quasitriangular quasibialgebra in categorical terms. This yields the notion of a quasi–Coxeter category, which is to a generalized braid group B what a braided tensor category is to Artin's braid groups, and of a quasi–Coxeter tensor category. Interestingly perhaps, both notions be concisely rephrased in terms of a 2–functor from a combinatorially defined 2–category $\mathsf{qC}(D)$ to the 2–categories $\mathsf{Cat},\mathsf{Cat}^{\otimes}$ of categories and tensor categories respectively. The objects of $\mathsf{qC}(D)$ are the subdiagrams of the Dynkin diagram D of B and, for two subdiagrams $D'\subseteq D''$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{qC}(D)}(D'',D')$ is the fundamental 1–groupoid of the De Concini–Procesi associahedron for the quotient diagram D''/D' [DCP2, TL4].

1.8. **Outline of the paper.** We begin in Section 2 by reviewing a number of combinatorial notions which will be used in later sections. In Section 3 we define quasi–Coxeter (tensor) categories. In Section 4, we review the construction of the Etingof–Kazhdan quantization functor and the isomorphism Ψ^{EK} following [EK1, EK6]. In Section 5, we modify this construction by using generalized Verma modules, and obtain a relative fiber functor $\Gamma_D:\mathcal{D}_\Phi(\mathfrak{g})\to\mathcal{D}_{\Phi_D}(\mathfrak{g}_D)$. In Section 6 we define the quantum analogues of generalized Verma modules. Using suitably defined PROPS we then show, in Section 7 that these Verma modules are isomorphic to the EK quantization of their classical counterparts. In Section 8, we use these results to show that, for any given chain of Manin triples ending in a given $\mathfrak{g}$, there exists a quantization of $U\mathfrak{g}$ which is compatible with each inclusion and independent, up to isomorphism, of the choice of the given chain. Finally, in Section 9, we apply these results to the case of a Kac–Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and obtain the desired tranport of its quasi–Coxeter quasitriangular quasibialgebra structure to the completion of $U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]$ with respect to category $\mathcal{O}$, integrable modules.

1.9. **Acknowledgments.** We are very grateful to Pavel Etingof for his interest in the present work and for many enlightening discussions.

2. Diagrams and nested sets

We review in this section a number of combinatorial notions associated to a diagram D, in particular the definition of nested sets on D and of the De Concini–Procesi associahedron of D following [DCP2] and [TL4, Section 2].

2.1. **Nested sets on diagrams.** By a *diagram* we shall mean a nonempty undirected graph D with no multiple edges or loops. We denote the set of vertices of D by $\mathsf{V}(D)$ and set $|D| = |\mathsf{V}(D)|$. A *subdiagram* $B \subseteq D$ is a full subgraph of D, that is, a graph consisting of a subset $\mathsf{V}(B)$ of vertices of D, together with all edges of D joining any two elements of $\mathsf{V}(B)$. We will often abusively identify such a B with its set of vertices and write $i \in B$ to mean $i \in \mathsf{V}(B)$. We denote by $\mathsf{SD}(D)$ the set of subdiagrams of D.

The union $B_1 \cup B_2$ of two subdiagrams $B_1, B_2 \subset D$ is the subdiagram having $\mathsf{V}(B_1) \cup \mathsf{V}(B_2)$ as its set of vertices. Two subdiagrams $B_1, B_2 \subset D$ are *orthogonal* if $\mathsf{V}(B_1) \cap \mathsf{V}(B_2) = \emptyset$ and no two vertices $i \in B_1$, $j \in B_2$ are joined by an edge in D. B_1 and B_2 are *compatible* if either one contains the other or they are orthogonal.

Definition. A *nested set* on a diagram D is a collection $\mathcal{H}$ of pairwise compatible, connected subdiagrams of D which contains the connected components $D_1, \ldots, D_r$ of D.

2.2. **The De Concini–Procesi associahedron.** Let $\mathcal{N}_D$ be the partially ordered set of nested sets on D, ordered by reverse inclusion. $\mathcal{N}_D$ has a unique maximal element $\mathbf{1} = \{D_i\}$ and its minimal elements are the *maximal nested sets*. We denote the set of maximal nested sets on D by $\mathsf{Mns}(D)$. Every nested set $\mathcal{H}$ on D is uniquely determined by a collection $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i=1}^r$ of nested sets on the connected components of D. We therefore obtain canonical identifications

$$\mathcal{N}_D = \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{N}_{D_i} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathsf{Mns}(D) = \prod_{i=1}^r \mathsf{Mns}(D_i)$$

The *De Concini–Procesi associahedron* $\mathcal{A}_D$ is the regular CW–complex whose poset of (nonempty) faces is $\mathcal{N}_D$. It easily follows from the definition that

$$\mathcal{A}_D = \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{A}_{D_i}$$

It can be realized as a convex polytope of dimension $|D| - r$. For any $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{N}_D$, we denote by $\dim(\mathcal{H})$ the dimension of the corresponding face in $\mathcal{A}_D$.

2.3. **The rank function of $\mathcal{N}_D$.** For any nested set $\mathcal{H}$ on D and $B \in \mathcal{H}$, we set $i_{\mathcal{H}}(B) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_i$ where the B_i's are the maximal elements of $\mathcal{H}$ properly contained in B.

Definition. Set $\underline{\alpha}_{\mathcal{H}}^B = B \setminus i_{\mathcal{H}}(B)$. We denote by

$$n(B;\mathcal{H}) = |\underline{\alpha}_{\mathcal{H}}^B| \qquad \text{and} \qquad n(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{H}} \bigl(n(B;\mathcal{H}) - 1\bigr)$$

An element $B \in \mathcal{H}$ is called *unsaturated* if $n(B;\mathcal{H}) > 1$.

Proposition.

(i) *For any nested set* $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{N}_D$*,*

$$n(\mathcal{H}) = |D| - |\mathcal{H}| = \dim(\mathcal{H})$$

(ii) *If* $\mathcal{H}$ *is a maximal nested set if and only if* $n(B;\mathcal{H}) = 1$ *for any* $B \in \mathcal{H}$*.*

(iii) *Any maximal nested set is of cardinality* $|D|$*.*

For any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathsf{Mns}(D)$, $B \in \mathcal{F}$, $i_{\mathcal{F}}(B)$ denotes the maximal element in $\mathcal{F}$ properly contained in B and $\underline{\alpha}^B_{\mathcal{F}} = B \setminus i_{\mathcal{F}}(B)$ consists of one vertex, denoted $\alpha^B_{\mathcal{F}}$.

For any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathsf{Mns}(D)$, $B \in \mathcal{F}$, we denote by $\mathcal{F}_B \in \mathsf{Mns}(B)$ the maximal nested set induced by $\mathcal{F}$ on B.

2.4. **Quotient diagrams.** Let $B \subsetneq D$ a proper subdiagram with connected components $B_1, \dots, B_m$.

Definition. The set of vertices of the quotient diagram D/B is $\mathsf{V}(D) \backslash \mathsf{V}(B)$. Two vertices $i \neq j$ of D/B are linked by an edge if and only if the following holds in D

$$i \not\perp j \qquad \text{or} \qquad i, j \not\perp B_i \qquad \text{for some } i = 1, \dots, m$$

For any connected subdiagram $C \subseteq D$ not contained in B, we denote by $\overline{C} \subseteq D/B$ the connected subdiagram with vertex set $V(C) \setminus V(B)$.

2.5. **Compatible subdiagrams of** D/B**.**

Lemma. *Let* $C_1, C_2 \nsubseteq B$ *be two connected subdiagrams of* D *which are compatible. Then*

(i) $\overline{C}_1, \overline{C}_2$ *are compatible unless* $C_1 \perp C_2$ *and* $C_1, C_2 \not\perp B_i$ *for some* i*.*

(ii) *If* C_1 *is compatible with every* B_i*, then* $\overline{C}_1$ *and* $\overline{C}_2$ *are compatible.*

In particular, if $\mathcal{F}$ *is a nested set on* D *containing each* B_i*, then* $\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \{\overline{C}\}$*, where* C *runs over the elements of* $\mathcal{F}$ *such that* $C \nsubseteq B$*, is a nested set on* D/B*.*

Let now A be a connected subdiagram of D/B and denote by $\widetilde{A} \subseteq D$ the connected sudbdiagram with vertex set

$$V(\widetilde{A}) = V(A) \bigcup_{i : B_i \not\perp V(A)} V(B_i)$$

Clearly, $A_1 \subseteq A_2$ or $A_1 \perp A_2$ imply $\widetilde{A_1} \subseteq \widetilde{A_2}$ and $\widetilde{A_1} \perp \widetilde{A_2}$ respectively, so the lifting map $A \to \widetilde{A}$ preserves compatibility.

2.6. **Nested sets on quotients.** For any connected subdiagrams $A \subseteq D/B$ and $C \subseteq D$, we have

$$\overline{\widetilde{A}} = A \qquad \text{and} \qquad \widetilde{\overline{C}} = C \bigcup_{i:B_i \not\perp C} B_i$$

In particular, $\widetilde{\overline{C}} = C$ if, and only if, C is compatible with $B_1, \dots, B_m$ and not contained in B. The applications $C \to \overline{C}$ and $A \to \widetilde{A}$ therefore yield a bijection between the connected subdiagrams of D which are either orthogonal to or strictly contain each B_i and the connected subdiagrams of D/B. This bijection preserves compatibility and therefore induces an embedding $\mathcal{N}_{D/B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_D$. This yields an embedding

$$\mathcal{N}_{D/B} \times \mathcal{N}_B = \mathcal{N}_{D/B} \times \left(\mathcal{N}_{B_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{N}_{B_m}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_D$$

with image the poset of nested sets on D containing each B_i. Similarly, for any $B \subseteq B' \subseteq B''$, we obtain a map

$$\cup : \mathcal{N}_{B''/B'} \times \mathcal{N}_{B'/B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{B''/B}$$

The map $\cup$ restricts to maximal nested sets. For any $B \subset B'$, we denote by $\mathsf{Mns}(B', B)$ the collection of maximal nested sets on B'/B. Therefore, for any $B \subset B' \subset B''$, we obtain an embedding

$$\cup : \mathsf{Mns}(B'', B') \times \mathsf{Mns}(B', B) \to \mathsf{Mns}(B'', B)$$

such that, for any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathsf{Mns}(B'', B'), \mathcal{G} \in \mathsf{Mns}(B', B)$,

$$(\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{G})_{B'/B} = \mathcal{G}$$

2.7. **Elementary and equivalent pairs.**

Definition. An ordered pair $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F})$ in $\mathsf{Mns}(D)$ is called *elementary* if $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ differ by one element. A sequence $\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_m$ in $\mathsf{Mns}(D)$ is called *elementary* if $|\mathcal{H}_{i+1} \setminus \mathcal{H}_i| = 1$ for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$.

Definition. The *support* $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ of an elementary pair in $\mathsf{Mns}(D)$ is the unique unsaturated element of $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}$. The *central support* $\mathfrak{z}\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ is the union of the maximal elements of $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}$ properly contained in $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$. Thus

$$\mathfrak{z}\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) = \operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \setminus \alpha_{\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}}^{\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})}$$

Definition. Two elementary pairs $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}), (\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{G}')$ in $\mathsf{Mns}(D)$ are *equivalent* if

$$\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) = \operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{G}')$$

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{F}}^{\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})} = \alpha_{\mathcal{F}'}^{\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{G}')} \qquad \alpha_{\mathcal{G}}^{\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})} = \alpha_{\mathcal{G}'}^{\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{G}')}$$

3. Quasi–Coxeter categories

The goal of this section is to rephrase the notion of quasi–Coxeter quasitriangular quasibialgebra defined in [TL4] in terms of terms of categories of representations.

3.1. Algebras arising from fiber functors.

We shall repeatedly need the following elementary

Lemma. *Consider the following situation*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{C} \arrow[r, "H"] \arrow[dr, bend right, "F"'] & \mathcal{D} \arrow[d, "G"] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "\alpha"'] \\ & \mathcal{A} \end{tikzcd}$$

where $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$ *are additive* k*–linear categories,* F, G, H *functors, and* α *is an invertible transformation. If* H *is an equivalence of categories, the map* $\mathsf{End}(G) \longrightarrow \mathsf{End}(F)$ *given by*

$$\{g_W\} \mapsto \{\mathsf{Ad}(\alpha_V^{-1})(g_{H(V)})\}$$

is an algebra isomorphism.

3.2. D–categories.

Recall [TL4, Section 3] that, given a diagram D, a D–algebra is a pair $(A, \{A_B\}_{B \in \mathsf{SD}(D)})$, where A is an associative algebra and $\{A_B\}_{B \in \mathsf{SD}(D)}$ is a collection of subalgebras indexed by $\mathsf{SD}(D)$ and satisfying

$$A_B \subseteq A_{B'} \quad \text{if } B \subseteq B' \qquad \text{and} \qquad [A_B, A_{B'}] = 0 \quad \text{if } B \perp B'$$

The following rephrases the notion of D–algebras in terms of their category of representations.

Definition. A *D–category*

$$\mathcal{C} = (\{\mathcal{C}_B\}, \{F_{BB'}\})$$

is the datum of

- a collection of k–linear additive categories $\{\mathcal{C}_B\}_{B \subseteq D}$
- for any pair of subdiagrams $B \subseteq B'$, an additive k–linear functor $F_{BB'} : \mathcal{C}_{B'} \to \mathcal{C}_B$[1]

satisfying the following properties

- For any $B \subseteq D$, $F_{BB} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}_B}$.
- For any $B \subseteq B' \subseteq B''$, $F_{BB'} \circ F_{B'B''} = F_{BB''}$.
- Given $B = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^r B_j$, with $B_j \in \mathsf{SD}(D)$ pairwise orthogonal, the images in $\mathsf{End}(F_B)$ of the maps

  $$\mathsf{End}(F_{B_j}) \to \mathsf{End}(F_{B_j} F_{B_j B}) = \mathsf{End}(F_B)$$

  pairwise commute.

---

[1] When $B = \emptyset$ we will omit the index B.

Remark. It may seem more natural to replace the equality of functors $F_{BB'} \circ F_{B'B''} = F_{BB''}$ by the existence of invertible natural transformations $\alpha^{B'}_{BB''} : F_{BB'} \circ F_{B'B''} \Rightarrow F_{BB''}$ for any $B \subseteq B'$ satisfying the associativity constraints $\alpha^{B'}_{BB'''} \circ F_{BB'}(\alpha^{B''}_{B'B'''}) = \alpha^{B''}_{BB'''} \circ (\alpha^{B'}_{BB''})_{F_{B''B'''}}$ for any $B \subseteq B' \subseteq B'' \subseteq B'''$. A simple coherence argument shows however that this leads to a notion of D–category which is equivalent to the one given above.

Remark. We will usually think of $\mathcal{C}_\emptyset$ as a base category and at the functors F as forgetful functors. Then the family of algebras $\mathsf{End}(F_B)$ defines, through the morphisms α, a structure of D–algebra on $\mathsf{End}(F_D)$. Conversely, every D–algebra A admits such a description setting $\mathcal{C}_B = \mathrm{Rep}\, A_B$ for $B \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{C}_\emptyset = \mathrm{Vect}_k$, $F_{BB'} = i^*_{B'B}$, where $i_{B'B} : A_B \subset A_{B'}$ is the inclusion.

Remark. The above definition may be rephrased as follows. Let $I(D)$ be the category whose objects are subdiagrams $B \subseteq D$ and morphisms $B' \to B$ the inclusions $B \subset B'$. Then a D–category is a functor

$$\mathcal{C} : I(D) \to \mathsf{Cat}$$

3.3. **Strict morphisms of D–categories.** The interpretation of D–categories in terms of $I(D)$ suggests that a morphism of D–categories $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'$ is one of the corresponding functors

$$\begin{tikzcd}
I(D) \arrow[r, bend left=50, "\mathcal{C}", ""{name=U, below}] \arrow[r, bend right=50, "\mathcal{C}'"', ""{name=L, above}] & \mathsf{Cat} \arrow[Rightarrow, from=U, to=L]
\end{tikzcd}$$

This yields the following definition. For simplicity, we assume that $\mathcal{C}_\emptyset = \mathcal{C}'_\emptyset$.

Definition. A *strict morphism* of D–categories $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'$ is the datum of

- for any $B \subseteq D$, a functor $H_B : \mathcal{C}_B \to \mathcal{C}'_B$
- for any $B \subseteq B'$, a natural transformation

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{C}_{B'} \arrow[r, "H_{B'}"] \arrow[d, "F_{BB'}"'] & \mathcal{C}'_{B'} \arrow[d, "F'_{BB'}"] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "\gamma_{BB'}"'] \\
\mathcal{C}_B \arrow[r, "H_B"'] & \mathcal{C}'_B
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.1}$$

such that

- $H_\emptyset = \mathrm{id}$
- $\gamma_{BB} = \mathrm{id}_{H_B}$
- For any $B \subseteq B' \subseteq B''$,

$$\gamma_{BB''} = \gamma_{BB'} \circ \gamma_{B'B''}$$

where $\circ$ is the composition of natural transformations defined by

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{C}_{B''} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{C}'_{B''} \arrow[d] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow] \\
\mathcal{C}_{B'} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{C}'_{B'} \arrow[d] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow] \\
\mathcal{C}_{B} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{C}'_{B}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.2}$$

The diagram (3.1), with $B=\emptyset$, induces an algebra homomorphism $\mathsf{End}(F'_{B'}) \to \mathsf{End}(F_{B'})$ which, by (3.2) is compatible with the maps $\mathsf{End}(F_B) \to \mathsf{End}(F_{B'})$ and $\mathsf{End}(F'_B) \to \mathsf{End}(F'_{B'})$ for any $B \subset B'$. As pointed out in [TL4, 3.3], this condition is too restrictive and will be weakened in the next paragraph.

3.4. **Morphisms of D–categories.**

Definition. A *morphism* of D–categories $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'$, with $\mathcal{C}_\emptyset = \mathcal{C}'_\emptyset$, is the datum of

- for any $B \subseteq D$ a functor $H_B : \mathcal{C}_B \to \mathcal{C}'_B$
- for any $B \subseteq B'$ and $\mathcal{F} \in \mathsf{Mns}(B, B')$, a natural transformation

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{C}_{B'} \arrow[r, "H_{B'}"] \arrow[d, "F_{BB'}"'] & \mathcal{C}'_{B'} \arrow[d, "F'_{BB'}"] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "\gamma^{\mathcal{F}}_{BB'}"'] \\
\mathcal{C}_{B} \arrow[r, "H_B"'] & \mathcal{C}'_{B}
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that

- $H_\emptyset = \mathrm{id}$
- $\gamma^{\mathcal{F}}_{BB} = \mathrm{id}_{H_B}$
- for any $B \subseteq B' \subseteq B''$, $\mathcal{F} \in \mathsf{Mns}(B, B')$, $\mathcal{G} \in \mathsf{Mns}(B', B'')$,

$$\gamma^{\mathcal{F}}_{BB'} \circ \gamma^{\mathcal{G}}_{B'B''} = \gamma^{\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G}}_{BB''}$$

Remark. For any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathsf{Mns}(B')$, the natural transformation $\gamma^{\mathcal{F}}_{B'}$ induces an algebra homomorphism $\Psi^{\mathcal{F}}_{B'} : \mathsf{End}(F'_{B'}) \to \mathsf{End}(F_{B'})$ such that the following diagram commutes for any $B \in \mathcal{F}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathsf{End}(F'_{B'}) \arrow[r, "\Psi^{\mathcal{F}}_{B'}"] & \mathsf{End}(F_{B'}) \\
\mathsf{End}(F'_{B}) \arrow[r, "\Psi^{\mathcal{F}_B}_{B}"] \arrow[u] & \mathsf{End}(F_{B}) \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

In particular, the collection of homomorphisms $\{\Psi^{\mathcal{F}}_D\}$ defines a morphism of D–algebras $\mathsf{End}(F'_D) \to \mathsf{End}(F_D)$ in the sense of [TL4, 3.4].

Remark. The above definition may be rephrased as follows. Let $M(D)$ be the category with objects the subdiagrams $B \subseteq D$ and morphisms $\mathrm{Hom}(B', B) = \mathsf{Mns}(B', B)$, with composition given by union. There is a forgetful functor $M(D) \to I(D)$ which is the identity on objects and maps $\mathcal{F} \in \mathsf{Mns}(B', B)$ to the inclusion $B \subseteq B'$. Given two D–categories $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}' : I(D) \to \mathsf{Cat}$ a morphism $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}'$ as defined above coincides with a morphism of the functors $M(D) \to \mathsf{Cat}$ given by the composition

$$\begin{tikzcd} M(D) \arrow[r] & I(D) \arrow[r, shift left, "\mathcal{C}"] \arrow[r, shift right, "\mathcal{C}'"'] & \mathsf{Cat} \end{tikzcd}$$

3.5. Quasi–Coxeter categories.

Definition. A labeling of the diagram D is the assignment of an integer $m_{ij} \in \{2, 3, \dots, \infty\}$ to any pair i, j of distinct vertices of D such that

$$m_{ij} = m_{ji} \qquad m_{ij} = 2$$

if and only if $i \perp j$.

Let D be a labeled diagram.

Definition. The *Artin braid group* B_D is the group generated by elements S_i labeled by the vertices $i \in D$ with relations

$$\underbrace{S_i S_j \cdots}_{m_{ij}} = \underbrace{S_j S_i \cdots}_{m_{ij}}$$

for any $i \neq j$ such that $m_{ij} < \infty$. We shall also refer to B_D as the braid group corresponding to D.

Definition. A *quasi–Coxeter category of type D*

$$\mathcal{C} = (\{\mathcal{C}_B\}, \{F_{BB'}\}, \{\Phi_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}}\}, \{S_i\})$$

is the datum of

- a D–category $\mathcal{C} = (\{\mathcal{C}_B\}, \{F_{BB'}\})$
- for any elementary pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ in $\mathsf{Mns}(B, B')$, a natural transformation
$$\Phi_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}} \in \mathsf{Aut}(F_{BB'})$$
- for any vertex $i \in \mathsf{V}(D)$, an element
$$S_i \in \mathsf{Aut}(F_i)$$

satisfying the following conditions

- **Orientation.** For any elementary pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$,
$$\Phi_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}} = \Phi_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}}^{-1}$$
- **Coherence.** For any elementary sequences $\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_m$ and $\mathcal{K}_1, \dots, \mathcal{K}_l$ in $\mathsf{Mns}(B, B')$ such that $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{K}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_m = \mathcal{K}_l$,
$$\Phi_{\mathcal{H}_{m-1}\mathcal{H}_m} \cdots \Phi_{\mathcal{H}_1\mathcal{H}_2} = \Phi_{\mathcal{K}_{l-1}\mathcal{K}_l} \cdots \Phi_{\mathcal{K}_1\mathcal{K}_2}$$

- **Support.** For any elementary pair $(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G})$ in $\mathsf{Mns}(B,B')$, let $S = \mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}), Z = \mathfrak{z}\,\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}) \subseteq D$ and

  $$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F}|^{\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G})}_{\mathfrak{z}\,\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G})} \qquad \widetilde{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{G}|^{\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G})}_{\mathfrak{z}\,\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G})}$$

  Then

  $$\Phi_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}} = \mathrm{id}_{BZ} \circ \Phi_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}} \circ \mathrm{id}_{B'S}$$

  where the expression above denotes the composition of natural transformations

  $$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{C}_{B'} \arrow[dddd, bend right=20, "F_{BB'}"', ""{name=L}] \arrow[dddd, bend left=20, "F_{BB'}", ""'{name=R}] & & \mathcal{C}_{B'} \arrow[d, "F_{SB'}"] \\
 & & \mathcal{C}_S \arrow[dd, bend right=40, "F_{ZS}"', ""{name=L2}] \arrow[dd, bend left=40, "F_{ZS}", ""'{name=R2}] \\
 & = & \\
 & & \mathcal{C}_Z \arrow[d, "F_{BZ}"] \\
\mathcal{C}_B & & \mathcal{C}_B
\arrow[Rightarrow, from=L, to=R, "\Phi_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}}"]
\arrow[Rightarrow, from=L2, to=R2, "\Phi_{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

- **Forgetfulness.** For any equivalent elementary pairs $(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}), (\mathcal{F}',\mathcal{G}')$ in $\mathsf{Mns}(B,B')$

  $$\Phi_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}} = \Phi_{\mathcal{F}'\mathcal{G}'}$$

- **Braid relations.** For any pairs i,j of distinct vertices of B, such that $2 < m_{ij} < \infty$, and elementary pair $(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G})$ in $\mathsf{Mns}(B)$ such that $i \in \mathcal{F}, j \in \mathcal{G}$, the following relations hold in $\mathsf{End}(F_B)$

  $$\mathsf{Ad}(\Phi_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}})(S_i) \cdot S_j \cdots = S_j \cdot \mathsf{Ad}(\Phi_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}})(S_i) \cdots$$

  where, by abuse of notation, we denote by S_i its image in $\mathsf{End}(F_B)$ and the number of factors in each side equals m_{ij}.

The elements S_i will be commonly referred at as *local monodromies.*

Remark. To rephrase the above definition, consider the 2–category $\mathsf{qC}(D)$ obtained by adding to $M(D)$ a unique 2–isomorphism $\varphi^{BB'}_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$ for any pair of 1–morphisms $\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G} \in \mathsf{Mns}(B',B)$, with the compositions

$$\varphi^{BB'}_{\mathcal{H}\mathcal{G}} \circ \varphi^{BB'}_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}} = \varphi^{BB'}_{\mathcal{H}\mathcal{F}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \varphi^{BB'}_{\mathcal{F}_2\mathcal{G}_2} \circ \varphi^{B'B''}_{\mathcal{F}_1\mathcal{G}_1} = \varphi^{BB''}_{\mathcal{F}_2\cup\mathcal{F}_1\,\mathcal{G}_2\cup\mathcal{G}_1}$$

where $\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G},\mathcal{H} \in \mathsf{Mns}(B',B)$, $B \subset B' \subseteq B''$ and $\mathcal{F}_1,\mathcal{G}_1 \in \mathsf{Mns}(B'',B')$, $\mathcal{F}_2,\mathcal{G}_2 \in \mathsf{Mns}(B',B)$. There is a unique functor $\mathsf{qC}(D) \to I(D)$ extending $M(D) \to I(D)$, and a quasi–Coxeter category is the same as a 2–functor

$\mathsf{qC}(D) \to \mathsf{Cat}$ fitting in a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathsf{qC}(D) \arrow[rr] \arrow[dr] & \arrow[d, Rightarrow] & \mathsf{Cat} \\
& I(D) \arrow[ur] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note that, for any $B \subset B'$, the category $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{qC}(D)}(B', B)$ is the 1–groupoid of the De Concini–Procesi associahedron on B'/B [TL4].

3.6. Morphisms of quasi–Coxeter categories.

Definition. A morphism of quasi–Coxeter categories $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'$ of type D is a morphism (H, γ) of the underlying D–categories such that

- For any $i \in B$, the corresponding morphism $\Psi_i : \mathsf{End}(F_i') \to \mathsf{End}(F_i)$ satisfies
$$\Psi_i(S_i') = S_i$$
- For any elementary pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ in $\mathsf{Mns}(B, B')$,
$$H_B(\Phi_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}}) \circ \gamma^{\mathcal{F}}_{BB'} \circ (\Phi'_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}})_{H_{B'}} = \gamma^{\mathcal{G}}_{BB'}$$
in $\mathsf{Nat}(F'_{BB'} \circ H_{B'}, H_B \circ F_{BB'})$, as in the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & \mathcal{C}'_{B'} \arrow[dd, bend left, ""{name=R}] \arrow[dd, dashed, bend right, ""{name=L}] \arrow[from=L, to=R, dashed, Rightarrow, "\Phi'_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}}"] \\
\mathcal{C}_{B'} \arrow[urr, "H_{B'}"] \arrow[dd, bend right, ""{name=A}] \arrow[dd, bend left, ""{name=B}] \arrow[from=A, to=B, Rightarrow, "\Phi_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}}"] & \arrow[d, dashed, Rightarrow, "\gamma^{\mathcal{F}}"'] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, bend left, "\gamma^{\mathcal{G}}"] & \\
& {} & \mathcal{C}'_B \\
\mathcal{C}_B \arrow[urr, "H_B"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Remark. Note that the above condition can be alternatively stated in terms of morphisms Ψ_F as the identity

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{G}} \circ \mathsf{Ad}(\Phi_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}}) = \mathsf{Ad}(\Phi'_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}}) \circ \Psi_{\mathcal{F}}$$

3.7. Strict D–monoidal categories.

Definition. A *strict D–monoidal category* $\mathcal{C} = (\{\mathcal{C}_B\}, \{F_{BB'}\}, \{J_{BB'}\})$ is a D–category $\mathcal{C} = (\{\mathcal{C}_B\}, \{F_{BB'}\})$ where

- for any $B \subseteq D$, $(\mathcal{C}_B, \otimes_B)$ is a strict monoidal category
- for any $B \subseteq B'$, the functor $F_{BB'}$ is endowed with a tensor structure $J_{BB'}$

with the additional condition that, for every $B \subseteq B' \subseteq B''$, $J_{BB'} \circ J_{B'B''} = J_{BB''}$.

Remark. The tensor structure J^B induces on $\mathsf{End}(F_B)$ a coproduct $\Delta_B : \mathsf{End}(F_B) \to \mathsf{End}(F_B^2)$, where $F_B^2 := \otimes \circ (F_B \boxtimes F_B)$, given by

$$\{g_V\}_{V \in \mathcal{C}_B} \mapsto \{\Delta_B(g)_{VW} := \mathsf{Ad}(J^B_{VW})(g_{V\otimes W})\}_{V,W \in \mathcal{C}_B}$$

Moreover, for any $B \subseteq B'$, $\mathsf{End}(F_B)$ is a subbialgebra of $\mathsf{End}(F_{B'})$, *i.e.,* the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathsf{End}(F_B) \arrow[r, "\Delta_B"] \arrow[d] & \mathsf{End}(F_B^2) \arrow[d] \\
\mathsf{End}(F_{B'}) \arrow[r, "\Delta_{B'}"'] & \mathsf{End}(F_{B'}^2)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Remark. Note that a strict D–monoidal category can be thought of as functor

$$\mathcal{C} : I(D) \to \mathsf{Cat}_0^{\otimes}$$

where $\mathsf{Cat}_0^{\otimes}$ denotes the 2–category of strict monoidal category, with monoidal functors and gauge transformations.

Definition. A morphism of strict D–monoidal categories is a natural transformation of the corresponding 2–functors $M(D) \to \mathsf{Cat}_0^{\otimes}$, obtained by composition with $M(D) \to I(D)$.

3.8. D–monoidal categories.

Definition. A *D–monoidal category*

$$\mathcal{C} = (\{(\mathcal{C}_B, \otimes_B, \Phi_B)\}, \{F_{BB'}\}, \{J^{\mathcal{F}}_{BB'}\})$$

is the datum of

- A D–category $(\{(\mathcal{C}_B\}, \{F_{BB'}\})$ such that each $(\mathcal{C}_B, \otimes_B, \Phi_B)$ is a tensor category, with $\mathcal{C}_\emptyset$ a strict tensor category, *i.e.,* $\Phi_\emptyset = \mathrm{id}$.
- for any pair $B \subseteq B'$ and $\mathcal{F} \in \mathsf{Mns}(B, B')$, a tensor structure $J^{BB'}_{\mathcal{F}}$ on the functor $F_{BB'} : \mathcal{C}_{B'} \to \mathcal{C}_B$

with the additional condition that, for any $B \subseteq B' \subseteq B''$, $\mathcal{F} \in \mathsf{Mns}(B'', B')$, $\mathcal{G} \in \mathsf{Mns}(B', B)$,

$$J^{\mathcal{G}}_{BB'} \circ J^{\mathcal{F}}_{B'B''} = J^{\mathcal{F}\cup\mathcal{G}}_{BB''}$$

Remark. The usual comparison with the algebra of endomorphisms leads to a collection of bialgebras $(\mathsf{End}(F_B), \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}, \varepsilon)$ endowed with multiple coproducts, indexed by $\mathsf{Mns}(B)$.

Remark. A D–monoidal category can be thought of as a functor $M(D) \to \mathsf{Cat}^{\otimes}$ fitting in a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M(D) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathsf{Cat}^{\otimes} \arrow[d] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow] \\
I(D) \arrow[r] & \mathsf{Cat}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Accordingly, a morphism of monoidal D–categories is one of the corresponding functors.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M(D) \arrow[r, bend left=50, "\mathcal{C}", ""{name=U, below}] \arrow[r, bend right=50, "\mathcal{C}'"', ""{name=L, above}] & \mathsf{Cat}^{\otimes} \arrow[Rightarrow, from=U, to=L]
\end{tikzcd}$$

3.9. **Fibered D–monoidal categories.** We shall often be concerned with D–monoidal categories such that the underlying categories $(\mathcal{C}_B, \otimes_B)$ are strict, and the functors $F_{BB'} : (\mathcal{C}_{B'}, \otimes_{B'}) \to (\mathcal{C}_B, \otimes_B)$ are tensor functors. This may be described in terms of the category $M(D)$ as follows. Let $\mathsf{DCat}^{\otimes}$ be the 2–category of Drinfeld categories, that is strict tensor categories $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes)$ endowed with an additional associativity constraint Φ making $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \Phi)$ a monoidal category. There is a canonical forgetful 2–functor $\mathsf{DCat}^{\otimes} \to \mathsf{Cat}_0^{\otimes}$.

We shall say that a D–monoidal category *fibers over* a strict D–monoidal category if the corresponding functor $M(D) \to \mathsf{Cat}^{\otimes}$ maps into $\mathsf{DCat}^{\otimes}$ and fits in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M(D) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathsf{DCat}^{\otimes} \arrow[d] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow] \\
I(D) \arrow[r] & \mathsf{Cat}_0^{\otimes}
\end{tikzcd}$$

In this case, the coproduct $\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}$ on a bialgebra $\mathsf{End}(F_B)$ is the twist of a reference coassociative coproduct Δ_0 on $\mathsf{End}(F_D)$ such that $\Delta_0 : \mathsf{End}(F_B) \to \mathsf{End}(F_B^2)$.

3.10. **Braided D–monoidal categories.**

Definition. A *braided D–monoidal category*

$$\mathcal{C} = (\{(\mathcal{C}_B, \otimes_B, \Phi_B, \beta_B)\}, \{(F_{BB'}, J^{\mathcal{F}}_{BB'}\})$$

is the datum of

- a D–monoidal category $(\{(\mathcal{C}_B, \otimes_B, \Phi_B)\}, \{(F_{BB'}, J^{\mathcal{F}}_{BB'}\})$
- for every $B \subseteq D$, a commutativity constraint β_B in $\mathcal{C}_B$, defining a braiding in $(\mathcal{C}_B, \otimes_B, \Phi_B)$.

Remark. Note that the tensor functors $(F_{BB'}, J^{\mathcal{F}}_{BB'}) : \mathcal{C}_{B'} \to \mathcal{C}_B$ are *not* assumed to map the commutativity constraint $\beta_{B'}$ to β_B.

Definition. A morphism of braided D–monoidal categories from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{C}'$ is a morphism of the underlying D–monoidal categories such that the functors $H_B : \mathcal{C}_B \to \mathcal{C}'_B$ are braided tensor functors.

Remark. The fact that H_B are braided tensor functors automatically implies that

$$\Psi^{\otimes 2}_{\mathcal{F}}((R_B)_{J_{\mathcal{F}}}) = (R'_B)_{J'_{\mathcal{F}}}$$

in analogy with [TL4], where $R_B = (12) \circ \beta_B$, and we are assuming that $\mathcal{C}_\emptyset = \mathcal{C}'_\emptyset$ is a symmetric strict tensor category.

3.11. Quasi–Coxeter braided monoidal categories.

Definition. A *quasi–Coxeter braided monoidal category of type* D

$$\mathcal{C} = (\{(\mathcal{C}_B, \otimes_B, \Phi_B, \beta_B)\}, \{(F_{BB'}, J^{\mathcal{F}}_{BB'})\}, \{\Phi_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}}\}, \{S_i\})$$

is the datum of

- a quasi–Coxeter category of type D,
$$\mathcal{C} = (\{\mathcal{C}_B\}, \{F_{BB'}\}, \{\Phi_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}}\}, \{S_i\})$$
- a braided D–monoidal category
$$\mathcal{C} = (\{(\mathcal{C}_B, \otimes_B, \Phi_B, \beta_B)\}, \{(F_{BB'}, J_{\mathcal{F}}^{BB'})\})$$

satisfying the following conditions

- for any $B \subseteq B'$, and $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F} \in \mathsf{Mns}(B, B')$, the natural transformation $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}} \in \mathsf{Aut}(F_{BB'})$ determines an isomorphism of tensor functors $(F_{BB'}, J^{\mathcal{G}}_{BB'}) \to (F_{BB'}, J^{\mathcal{F}}_{BB'})$, that is for any $V, W \in \mathcal{C}_{B'}$,
$$(\Phi_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}})_{V\otimes W} \circ (J^{\mathcal{F}}_{BB'})_{V,W} = (J^{\mathcal{G}}_{BB'})_{V,W} \circ ((\Phi_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}})_V \otimes (\Phi_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}})_W)$$
- for any $i \in D$, the following holds:
$$\Delta_i(S_i) = (R_i)^{21}_{J_i} \cdot (S_i \otimes S_i)$$

A morphism of quasi–Coxeter braided monoidal categories of type D is a morphism of the underlying quasi–Coxeter categories and braided D–monoidal categories.

Remark. A quasi–Coxeter braided monoidal category of type D determines a 2–functor $\mathsf{qC}(D) \to \mathsf{Cat}^{\otimes}$ fitting in a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathsf{qC}(D) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathsf{Cat}^{\otimes} \arrow[d] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow] \\
I(D) \arrow[r] & \mathsf{Cat}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note however that this functor does not entirely capture the quasi–Coxeter braided monoidal category since it does not encode the commutativity constraints β_B and automorphisms S_i.

4. Etingof-Kazhdan Quantization

We review in this section the results obtained in [EK1, EK6].

4.1. **Lie bialgebras and Manin triples.** A Manin triple is the data of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with a nondegenerate invariant inner product $\langle,\rangle$, $\mathfrak{g}_+, \mathfrak{g}_-$ isotropic Lie subalgebras, such that

(M1) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}_-$ as vector space
(M2) the inner product defines an isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}_+ \simeq \mathfrak{g}_-^*$
(M3) the commutator of $\mathfrak{g}$ is continuous with respect to the topology obtained by putting the discrete topology on $\mathfrak{g}_-$ and the week topology on $\mathfrak{g}_+$

Under these assumptions, $\mathfrak{g}_-$ is naturally a Lie bialgebra, since the isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}_+ \simeq \mathfrak{g}_-^*$ defines a commutator on $\mathfrak{g}_-^*$. This commutator turns out to be a 1-cocycle (cf. [D1]). Notice that, in absolute generality, $\mathfrak{g}_+$ is only a topological Lie bialgebra, i.e. $\delta(\mathfrak{g}_+) \subset \mathfrak{g}_+ \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}_+$.

By Manin subtriple we mean a topological Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_D \subset \mathfrak{g}$ such that the restriction $\langle,\rangle|_{\mathfrak{g}_D}$ defines an invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{g}_D$ with isotropic Lie subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}_{D,\pm}$ such that $(\mathfrak{g}_D, \mathfrak{g}_{D,+}, \mathfrak{g}_{D,-})$ is a Manin triple.

It is known that, if $\mathfrak{g}_-$ is $\mathbb{N}$-graded of finite type (i.e. with $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_-)_n < \infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$), then we can formulate the definition of *graded* Manin triple where the isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}_+ \simeq \mathfrak{g}_-^*$ is instead an isomorphism with the *graded* dual of $\mathfrak{g}_-$, i.e. $\mathfrak{g}_-^* = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} (\mathfrak{g}_-)_n^*$. Under this assumption, $\mathfrak{g}_+$ and then $\mathfrak{g}$ have natural structures of Lie bialgebras.

4.2. **Glossary of topology.** Recall few basic definition from [EK1]. Let S be a set, T a topological space and T^S the space of maps from S to T. This space has a natural *weak topology*, which is the weakest topology in which all the evaluation maps $T^S \to T$ are continuous. Namely, let B be the basis of the topology on T. For an integer $n \geq 1$, elements $\{s_i\}_{i=1\dots n} \subset S$ and open sets $U_i \in B$, $i = 1 \dots n$, define

$$Y(s_1, \dots, s_n, U_1, \dots, U_n) := \{f \in T^S \mid f(s_i) \in U_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the collection of all such sets Y. This is a basis of a topology on T^S which is called *weak topology.*

Let k be a field of characteristic zero with the discrete topology. Let V be a topological vector space over k. The topology on V is called *linear* if open subspaces of V form a basis of neighborhood of zero. Notice that in any topological space, an open subspace is also closed.

Let V be a topological vector space over k with linear topology. V is called *separated* if the map $V \to \lim(V/U)$ is a monomorphism, where U runs over open subspaces of V. V is called *complete* if the map $V \to \lim(V/U)$ is an epimorphism.

In particular, if M is a complete vector space with a countable basis of neighborhoods of zero, then there exists a filtration $M = M_0 \supset M_1 \supset \dots$ such that $\cap_{n\geq 0} M_n = \{0\}$ and $\{M_n\}$ is a basis of neighborhoods of zero in M. In this case, $M = \lim_{n\to\infty} M/M_n$.

Let M, N be topological spaces over k. We denote by $\mathrm{Hom}_k(M,N)$ the space of *continuous* linear operators from M to N, equipped with the weak topology. A basis of neighborhoods of zero in $\mathrm{Hom}_k(M,N)$ is generated by sets of the form $Y(v,U) = \{A \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(M,N) \mid Av \in U\}$, where $v \in M$ and $U \subset N$ is an open set.

In particular, if $N = k$ with the discrete topology, the space $\mathrm{Hom}_k(M,k)$ is the space of all continuous linear functionals on M, which we denote by M^*. Clearly, a basis of neighborhoods of zero in M^* consists of orthogonal complements of finite-dimensional subspaces in M. In particular, if M is discrete (so the topological dual coincide with the algebraic dual), then the canonical embedding $M \to (M^*)^*$ is an isomorphism of linear spaces. However, if M is infinite dimensional (this implies that M^* is not discrete), this embedding is **not** an isomorphism of topological vector spaces since the space $(M^*)^*$ is not discrete.

Let V, W be complete vector spaces. Consider the space

$$V\hat{\otimes}W := \lim (V/V') \otimes (W/W')$$

where the limit is taken over open subspaces $V' \subset V, W' \subset W$. A basis of neighborhoods of zero in $V\hat{\otimes}W$ is the collection of subspaces $V\hat{\otimes}W' + V'\hat{\otimes}W$, with V', W' open subspaces in V, W.

Remark.

(i) Any discrete vector space V is complete. Moreover, the topological vector space $M = V[[\hbar]]$ of formal power series in h with coefficients in V is a complete vector space.
(ii) Let V be a complete vector space, $U \subset V$ an open set. Then U is complete and V/U is discrete.
(iii) Let V a discrete space. Then $V\hat{\otimes}\mathsf{k}[[\hbar]] = V[[\hbar]]$.

The additive category of completed vector spaces, in which morphism are continuous linear operators, equipped with completed tensor product $\hat{\otimes}$, is a symmetric tensor category.

Let V be a complete vector space over k. Then the space $V[[\hbar]] = V\hat{\otimes}\mathsf{k}[[\hbar]]$ of formal power series in h with coefficients in V is also a complete vector space. Moreover, $V[[\hbar]]$ has a natural structure of a topological $\mathsf{k}[[\hbar]]$-module. We call a topological $\mathsf{k}[[\hbar]]$-module complete if it is isomorphic to $V[[\hbar]]$ for some complete V.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the category of complete $\mathsf{k}[[\hbar]]$-module, where morphisms are continuous $\mathsf{k}[[\hbar]]$-linear maps. It is an additive category. We can define a tensor product on $\mathcal{A}$ to be the quotient of the tensor product $V\hat{\otimes}W$ by the image of the operator $h\otimes 1-1\otimes h$. This tensor product will be still denoted by $\hat{\otimes}$. It is clear that, for $V,W\in\mathcal{A}$, $V\hat{\otimes}W\in\mathcal{A}$. The category $\mathcal{A}$ equipped with the bifunctor $\hat{\otimes}$ is a symmetric monodical category.

We have an *extension of scalar* functor from the category of complete vector spaces to $\mathcal{A}$, $V\mapsto V[[\hbar]]$. This functor respects the tensor product, i.e. $(V\hat{\otimes}W)[[\hbar]]$ is naturally isomorphic to $V[[\hbar]]\hat{\otimes}W[[\hbar]]$.

4.3. **Equicontinuous modules.** Let M be a topological vector space over k, and $\{A_x, x\in X\}$ be a family of elements of $\operatorname{End}M$. We say that the family $\{A_x\}$ is *equicontinuous* if, for every neighborhood of zero $U\subset M$ there exists another neighborhood of zero $U'\subset M$ such that $A_xU\subset U'$ for all $x\in X$. For example, if M is complete and $A\in\operatorname{End}M$ is any continuous linear operator, then $\{\lambda A,\lambda\in\mathsf{k}\}$ is equicontinuous.
Fix now a topological Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

Definition. Let M be a complete vector space. We say that M is an *equicontinuous* $\mathfrak{g}$-module if:

- (E1) the map $\pi_M:\mathfrak{g}\to\operatorname{End}M$ is a continuous homomorphism of topological Lie algebras;
- (E2) $\{\pi_M(g)\}_{g\in\mathfrak{g}}$ is an equicontinuous family of linear operators.

Example. If M is a complete vector space with a *trivial* $\mathfrak{g}$-module structure, then M is an equicontinuous $\mathfrak{g}$-module.

Let V,W be equicontinuous $\mathfrak{g}$-modules. Then $V\hat{\otimes}W$ has a natural structure of equicontinuous $\mathfrak{g}$-module. Moreover, $(V\hat{\otimes}W)\hat{\otimes}U$ is naturally identified with $V\hat{\otimes}(W\hat{\otimes}U)$ for any equicontinuous $\mathfrak{g}$-modules V,W,U. The category of equicontinuous $\mathfrak{g}$-modules is then a monoidal category. It is also symmetric since $V\hat{\otimes}W$ and $W\hat{\otimes}V$ are identified by permutation of components. We denote this category by $\operatorname{Rep}^{\mathrm{eq}}\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}_+,\mathfrak{g}_-)$ be a Manin triple. Let $\{a_i,i\in I\}$ be a basis for $\mathfrak{g}_-$ and $\{b_i,i\in I\}\subset\mathfrak{g}_+$ be the linear functionals on $\mathfrak{g}_-$ defined by $\langle b^i,a_j\rangle=\delta_{ij}$.

Lemma. *Let M be a complete vector space with a continuous homomorphism $\mathfrak{g}\to\operatorname{End}M$. Then for all $m\in M$ and any neighborhood of zero $U\subset M$, one has $b^im\in U$ for all but finitely many $i\in I$.*

Proof. A basis of neighborhood of zero in $\mathfrak{g}_+$ is given by sets

$$Y_j=\{f\in\mathfrak{g}_+\mid\langle f,a_j\rangle=0\}$$

Let $\{i_s\in I\}$ be any sequence of distinct elements. Since for any Y_j there exists $i_{s_0}\in I$ such that $b^{is}\in Y_j$ for $s>s_0$, then $\lim_{s\to\infty}b^{i_s}=0$. By continuity of the map $\mathfrak{g} \to \operatorname{End} M$, $\lim_{s\to\infty} b^{i_s}m = 0$ for any $m \in M$. This means that $b^i m \in U$ for almost all $i \in I$. $\square$

4.4. **Verma modules.** In [EK1], Etingof and Kazhdan constructed two main examples of equicontinuous $\mathfrak{g}$-modules in the case when $\mathfrak{g}$ belongs to a Manin triple $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_+, \mathfrak{g}_-)$.
Consider the Verma modules

$$M_+ = \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_-}^{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbf{1} \qquad M_- = \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_+}^{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbf{1}$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the trivial 1-dimensional representation. The modules $M_\pm$ are freely generated by $U(\mathfrak{g}_\pm)$ by a vector $1_\pm$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_\mp 1_\pm$. Therefore, they are naturally identified as vector spaces to $U(\mathfrak{g}_\pm)$ via $x1_\pm \to x$.
The modules M_- and M_+^*, with appropriate topologies, are equicontinuous $\mathfrak{g}$-modules.

Lemma. *The module M_-, equipped with the discrete topology, is an equicontinuous $\mathfrak{g}$-module.*

The topology on M_+ comes from the identification of vector spaces $M_+ \simeq U(\mathfrak{g}_+) = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} U(\mathfrak{g}_+)_n$, where $U(\mathfrak{g}_+)_n$ is the set of elements of degree $\leq n$. Furthermore we have a linear isomorphism

$$\xi_n : \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n} S^j\mathfrak{g}_+ \to U(\mathfrak{g}_+)_n$$

where $S^j\mathfrak{g}_+$ is the j-th symmetric power of $\mathfrak{g}_+$ and, as usual, $S^0\mathfrak{g}_+ = \mathfrak{g}_+^{\otimes 0} = k$. Since $S^j\mathfrak{g}_+$ has a natural weak topology coming from the embedding $S^j\mathfrak{g}_+ \to (\mathfrak{g}_-^{\otimes j})^*$, the isomorphism ξ_n defines a topology on $U(\mathfrak{g}_+)_n$. Moreover, by definition, $U(\mathfrak{g}_+)_m$ is a closed subspace in $U(\mathfrak{g}_+)_n$. This allows us to equip $U(\mathfrak{g}_+)$, and therefore M_+, with the topology of the colimit. By definition, a set $U \subset U(\mathfrak{g}_+)$ is open in this topology if and only if $U \cap U(\mathfrak{g}_+)_n$ is open for all n.

Lemma. *For any $g \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\pi_{M_+}(g) \in \operatorname{End} M_+$ is a continuous operator.*

Consider now the vector space M_+^* of continuous linear functionals on M_+. By definition, M_+^* is naturally isomorphic to $\lim (U(\mathfrak{g}_+)_n)^*$ as $n \to \infty$. As vector space, $(U(\mathfrak{g}_+)_n)^* = (S^j\mathfrak{g}_+)^* = S^j\mathfrak{g}_-$. Therefore, it is natural to put the discrete topology on $(U(\mathfrak{g}_+)_n)^*$. This equip the module M_+^* with a natural structure of a complete vector space. It is also equipped with a filtration by subspaces $(M_+^*)_n$ satisfying

$$0 \to (M_+^*)_n \to M_+^* \to (U(\mathfrak{g}_+)_n)^* \to 0$$

and such that $M_+^* = \lim M_+^*/(M_+^*)_n$.

Remark. The topology of the limit on M_+^* does not coincide, in general, with the weak topology of the dual. In fact, it is stronger than the weak topology.

By previous lemma, the dual of the $\mathfrak{g}$-action on M_+ defines a $\mathfrak{g}$-action on M_+^*.

Lemma. *M_+^* is an equicontinuous $\mathfrak{g}$-module.*

Remark. if $\dim \mathfrak{g}_- = \infty$, then M_+ is not, in general, an equicontinuous $\mathfrak{g}$-module, since the family of operators $\{\pi_{M_+}(g), g \in \mathfrak{g}_-\}$ may fail to be equicontinuous.

4.5. **The Casimir element.** Consider now the tensor product $\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathfrak{a}^*$ and its embedding into $\operatorname{End} \mathfrak{a}$ by $(x \otimes f)(y) = f(y)x$, for $x, y \in \mathfrak{a}, f \in \mathfrak{a}^*$. This embedding defines a topology on $\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathfrak{a}^*$, obtained by restriction of the weak topology in $\operatorname{End} \mathfrak{a}$. Since the image of $\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathfrak{a}^*$ is dense in $\operatorname{End} \mathfrak{a}$, the topological completion $\mathfrak{a} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{a}^*$ is identified with $\operatorname{End} \mathfrak{a}$.

Lemma. *Let $V, W \in \operatorname{Rep}^{\text{eq}} \mathfrak{g}$. The map $\pi_V \otimes \pi_W : \mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathfrak{a}^* \to \operatorname{End}(V \hat{\otimes} W)$ extends to a continuous map $\mathfrak{a} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{a}^* \to \operatorname{End}(V \hat{\otimes} W)$.*

Let $r \in \mathfrak{a} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{a}^*$ be the element corresponding to the identity operator under the identification with $\operatorname{End} \mathfrak{a}$. Let $r^{op} \in \mathfrak{a}^* \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{a}$ to be the element obtained from r by permutation of components. We define the **Casimir element** by $\Omega := r + r^{op} \in \mathfrak{a} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{a}^* \oplus \mathfrak{a}^* \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{a}$. Clearly, $r = \sum a_i \otimes b^i$ and $\Omega = \sum (a_i \otimes b^i + b^i \otimes a_i)$. Consider $V, W \in \operatorname{Rep}^{\text{eq}} \mathfrak{g}$ and denote $\Omega_{VW} := \pi_V \otimes \pi_W(\Omega)$. This endomorphism of $V \hat{\otimes} W$ is well defined and continuous. Moreover, it commutes with the action of $\mathfrak{g}$, so it is an endomorphism of $V \hat{\otimes} W$ as equicontinuous $\mathfrak{g}$-module.

Remark. Although the Casimir operator is defined on the tensor product of any two equicontinuous $\mathfrak{g}$-modules, the Casimir element $C = \sum (a_i b^i + b^i a_i)$ has no meaning as an operator on an equicontinuous $\mathfrak{g}$-module.

4.6. **Drinfeld category.** Let $\operatorname{Rep}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$ denote the category whose object are deformed equicontinuous $\mathfrak{g}$-modules and

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Rep}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]}(U[[\hbar]], V[[\hbar]]) := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(U, V)[[\hbar]]$$

Following [D2], we can define a structure of braided monoidal category on $\operatorname{Rep} \mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]$ using a fixed Lie associator Φ, the bifunctor $\hat{\otimes}$ and the Casimir operator Ω. Define the braiding $\beta_{VW} = \sigma \circ e^{\frac{\hbar}{2}\Omega_{VW}}$. Let now γ be the functorial isomorphism defined by $\gamma_{VW} = \beta_{WV}^{-1}$. It is clear that γ is a braiding on $\operatorname{Rep} \mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]$. We denote this braided tensor category $\operatorname{Rep}_{\Phi}(U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]])$.

It is an easy exercise to prove that the category of equicontinuous $\mathfrak{g}$–modules is equivalent to the category of Yetter-Drinfeld module over $\mathfrak{g}_-$, $\mathcal{YD}\mathfrak{g}_-$. The equivalence holds at the level of tensor structure induced by the choice of an associator Φ.

4.7. **Properties of the Verma modules.** The modules $M_\pm$ are identified as vector spaces with $U(\mathfrak{g}_\pm)$. Thus, we can define the maps $i_\pm : M_\pm \to M_\pm \hat{\otimes} M_\pm$ given by comultiplication in the enveloping universal algebras

$U(\mathfrak{g}_{\pm})$. These maps are $U(\mathfrak{g})$-intertwiners since they are $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\pm})$-intertwiners and map the vector $1_{\pm}$ to the $\mathfrak{g}_{\mp}$-invariant vector $1_{\pm} \otimes 1_{\pm}$.
For any $f, g \in M_+^*$, consider the linear functional $M_+ \to \mathsf{k}$ defined by $v \mapsto (f \otimes g)(i_+(v))$. This functional is continuous, so it belongs to M_+^*. Define accordingly the map $i_+^* : M_+^* \otimes M_+^* \to M_+^*$. It is clear that i_+^* is continuous and it extends to a morphism in $\operatorname{Rep} \mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]$, $i_+^* : M_+^* \hat{\otimes} M_+^* \to M_+^*$.

Let now $V \in \operatorname{Rep} \mathfrak{g}$. Consider the space $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M_-, M_+^* \hat{\otimes} V)$, where as usual $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ denotes the set of continuous homomorphisms, equipped with the weak topology.

Lemma. *The complete vector space* $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M_-, M_+^* \hat{\otimes} V)$ *is isomorphic to* V *as topological vector space. The isomorphism is given by* $f \mapsto (1_+ \otimes 1, f(1_-))$.

Proof. By Frobenius reciprocity, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M_-, M_+^* \hat{\otimes} V)$ is isomorphic, as a topological vector space, to the space of invariants $(M_+^* \hat{\otimes} V)^{\mathfrak{g}_+}$, via $f \mapsto f(1_-)$. Consider now the space $\operatorname{Hom}_k(M_+, V)$, equipped with the weak topology, and the map

$$\phi : (M_+^* \hat{\otimes} V) \to \operatorname{Hom}_k(M_+, V)$$

given by $\phi(f \otimes v)(x) = f(x)v$, $f \in M_+^*, x \in M_+, v \in V$. Clearly, ϕ is injective and continuous. Moreover, ϕ restricts to an isomorphism

$$\phi : (M_+^* \hat{\otimes} V)^{\mathfrak{g}_+} \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M_+, V)$$

Indeed, $\phi(M_+^* \hat{\otimes} V)^{\mathfrak{g}_+} \subset \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M_+, V)$. So, we need to show that any continuous $\mathfrak{g}_-$-intertwiner $g : M_+ \to V$ is of the form $\phi(g')$, $g' \in (M_+^* \hat{\otimes} V)^{\mathfrak{g}_+}$. Notice that, since V is complete, we have $V \simeq \lim V/U$, where the limit is taken over the open sets of V. Therefore, we have a canonical isomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}_k(M_+, V) \simeq \lim \operatorname{Hom}_k(M_+, V/U)$.
For any map $g \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_+}(M_+, V)$, $g^{-1}(U) \cap U(\mathfrak{g}_+)_n$ is open in $U(\mathfrak{g}_+)_n$, i.e. it is a complement to a finite dimensional subspace. Then composing with the projection $p_U : V \to V/U$, the map $g_U := p_U \circ g$ sends $U(\mathfrak{g}_+)_n$ into a finite dimensional linear subspace in V/U and, taking the limit

$$(M_+^* \hat{\otimes} V)^{\mathfrak{g}_+} = \lim_n \lim_{U \subset V} ((U(\mathfrak{g}_+)_n)^* \otimes V/U)$$

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_+}(M_+, V) = \lim_n \lim_{U \subset V} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_+}((U(\mathfrak{g}_+))_n, V/U)$$

it is possible to determine $g' \in (M_+^* \hat{\otimes} V)^{\mathfrak{p}_+}$ such that $g = \phi(g')$.

By Frobenius reciprocity, the space $\operatorname{Hom}^c_{\mathfrak{g}_+}(M_+, V)$ is isomorphic to V as a topological vector space via $f \mapsto f(\mathbf{1}_+)$. The lemma is proved. □

4.8. **The fiber functor and the EK quantization.** Let F be the functor $\mathrm{Rep}_\Phi(U\mathfrak{g}_-[[\hbar]]) \to \mathcal{A}$ given by

$$F(V) = \mathrm{Hom}(M_-, M_+^* \otimes V)$$

There is a natural transformation

$$J \in \mathsf{Nat}(\otimes \circ (F \boxtimes F), F \circ \otimes)$$

defined, for any $v \in F(V), w \in F(W)$, by

$$J_{VW}(v \otimes w) = (i_+^\vee \otimes 1 \otimes 1)A^{-1}\beta_{23}^{-1}A(v \otimes w)i_-$$

where A is defined as a morphism

$$(V_1 \otimes V_2) \otimes (V_3 \otimes V_4) \to V_1 \otimes ((V_2 \otimes V_3) \otimes V_4)$$

by the action of $(1 \otimes \Phi_{2,3,4})\Phi_{1,2,34}$.

Theorem. *The natural transformation J is invertible and defines a tensor structure on the functor F.*

The tensor functor (F, J) is called *fiber functor*. The algebra of endomorphisms of F is therefore naturally endowed with a topological bialgebra structure, as described in the previous section.[1]

The object $F(M_-) \in \mathcal{A}$ has a natural structure of Hopf algebra, defined by the multiplication

$$m : F(M_-) \otimes F(M_-) \to F(M_-) \qquad m(x, y) = (i_+^\vee \otimes 1)\Phi^{-1}(1 \otimes y)x$$

and the comultiplication

$$\Delta : F(M_-) \to F(M_-) \otimes F(M_-) \qquad \Delta(x) = J^{-1}(F(i_-)(x))$$

The algebra $F(M_-)$ is naturally isomorphic as a vector space with $M_-[[\hbar]] \simeq U\mathfrak{g}_-[[\hbar]]$ and

Theorem. *The algebra $U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_- = F(M_-)$ is a quantization of the algebra $U\mathfrak{g}_-$.*

In [EK2], it is shown that this construction defines a functor

$$Q^{EK} : LBA(\mathsf{k}) \to QUE(K)$$

where $LBA(\mathsf{k})$ denotes the category of Lie bialgebras over k and QUE(K) denotes the category of quantum universal enveloping algebras over $K = \mathsf{k}[[\hbar]]$. Another important result in [EK2] states the invertibility of the functor Q^{EK}, obtained using the action of the Grothendieck–Teichmüller group.

The map

$$m_- : U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_- \to \mathsf{End}(F) \qquad m_-(x)_V(v) = (i_+^\vee \otimes 1)\Phi^{-1}(1 \otimes v)x$$

---

[1] By *topological* bialgebra we do not mean *topological over* $\mathsf{k}[[\hbar]]$. We are instead referring to the fact that the algebra $\mathsf{End}(F)$ has a natural comultiplication $\Delta : \mathsf{End}(F) \to \mathsf{End}(F^2)$, where $\mathsf{End}(F^2)$ can be interpreted as an appropriate completion of $\mathsf{End}(F)^{\otimes 2}$.

where $V \in \mathcal{YD}_\Phi(U\mathfrak{g}_-[[\hbar]])$ and $v \in F(V)$, is, indeed, an inclusion of Hopf algebras. The map m_- defines an action of $U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_-$ on $F(V)$. Moreover, the map

$$F(V) \to F(M_-) \otimes F(V) \qquad v \mapsto R_J(1 \otimes v)$$

where R_J denotes the twisted R–matrix, defines a coaction of $U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_-$ on $F(V)$ compatible with the action, therefore

Theorem. *The fiber functor $F : \mathcal{YD}_\Phi(U\mathfrak{g}_-[[\hbar]]) \to \mathcal{A}$ lifts to an equivalence of braided tensor categories*

$$F^{EK} : \mathcal{YD}_\Phi(U\mathfrak{g}_-[[\hbar]]) \to \mathcal{YD}(U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_-)$$

The fact that F^{EK} is an equivalence of categories is a consequence of the invertibility of Q^{EK}.

4.9. **Generalized Kac-Moody algebras.** Denote by k a field of characteristic zero. We recall definitions from [K] and [EK6]. Let $\mathsf{A} = (a_{ij})_{i,j\in\mathbf{I}}$ be an $n \times n$ symmetrizable matrix with entries in k, i.e. there exists a (fixed) collection of nonzero numbers $\{d_i\}_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$ such that $d_i a_{ij} = d_j a_{ji}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbf{I}$. Let $(\mathfrak{h}, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$ be a realization of A. It means that $\mathfrak{h}$ is a vector space of dimension $2n - \mathrm{rk}(\mathsf{A})$, $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $\Pi^\vee = \{h_1, \dots, h_n\} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ are linerly independent and $(\alpha_i, h_j) = a_{ji}$.

Definition. The Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathsf{A})$ is generated by $\mathfrak{h}, \{e_i, f_i\}_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$ with defining relations

$$[h, h'] = 0 \quad h, h' \in \mathfrak{h}; \qquad [h, e_i] = (\alpha_i, h)e_i$$
$$[h, f_i] = -(\alpha_i, h)f_i; \qquad [e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij}h_i$$

Assume that A has been fixed. There exists a unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{r}$ in $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ that intersect $\mathfrak{h}$ trivially. Let $\mathfrak{g} := \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{r}$. The algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is called *generalized Kac-Moody algebra*. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is graded by principal gradation $\deg(e_i) = 1, \deg(f_i) = -1, \deg(\mathfrak{h}) = 0$, and the homogenous component are finite-dimentional.

Let us now choose a non degenerate bilinear symmetric form on $\mathfrak{h}$ such that $\langle h, h_i\rangle = d_i^{-1}(\alpha_i, h)$. Following [K], there exists a unique extension of the form $\langle,\rangle$ to an invariant symmetric bilinear form on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. For this extension, one gets $\langle e_i, f_j\rangle = \delta_{ij}d_i^{-1}$. The kernel of this form is $\mathfrak{r}$ and thus the form descends to a non degenerate form on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $\mathfrak{n}_\pm, \mathfrak{b}_\pm$ be the nilpotent and the Borel subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e. $n_\pm$ are generated by $\{e_i\}$, $\{f_i\}$, respectively, and $\mathfrak{b}_\pm := \mathfrak{n}_\pm \oplus \mathfrak{h}$. Since $[\mathfrak{n}_\pm, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{n}_\pm$, we get Lie algebra maps $^- : \mathfrak{b}_\pm \to \mathfrak{h}$ and we can consider the embeddings of Lie subalgebras $\eta_\pm : \mathfrak{b}_\pm \to \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ given by

$$\eta_\pm(x) = (x, \pm\bar{x})$$

Define the inner product on $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ by $\langle,\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{h}} = \langle,\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} - \langle,\rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}$.

Proposition. *The triple* $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{b}_+, \mathfrak{b}_-)$ *with inner product* $\langle,\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{h}}$ *and embeddings* $\eta_\pm$ *is a graded Manin triple.*

Under the embeddings $\eta_\pm$, the Lie subalgebras $\mathfrak{b}_\pm$ are isotropic with respect to $\langle,\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{h}}$. Since $\langle,\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\langle,\rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}$ are invariant symmetric non degenerate bilinear form, so is $\langle,\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{h}}$.

The proposition implies that $\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{b}_+, \mathfrak{b}_-$ are Lie bialgebras. Moreover, $\mathfrak{b}_+ \simeq \mathfrak{b}_-^{*cop}$ as Lie bialgebras (where * denotes the *restricted* dual space and by *cop* we mean the opposite cocommutator). The cocommutator δ on these algebras is easily computed:

$$\delta(h) = 0, \quad h \in \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{b}_\pm;$$

$$\delta(e_i) = \frac{d_i}{2}(e_i \otimes h_i - h_i \otimes e_i) = \frac{d_i}{2} e_i \wedge h_i; \quad \delta(f_i) = \frac{d_i}{2} f_i \wedge h_i$$

The Lie subalgebra $\{(0,h) \mid h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$ is therefore an ideal and a coideal in $\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{h}$, and so the quotient $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{h})/\mathfrak{h}$ is also a Lie bialgebra with Lie sub-bialgebras $\mathfrak{b}_\pm$ and the same cocommutator formulas.

4.10. **Quantization of Kac–Moody algebras and category $\mathcal{O}$.** In [EK6], Etingof and Kazhdan proved that, for any symmetrizable irreducible Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, the quantization $U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic with the Drinfeld–Jimbo quantum group $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$.

In particular, they construct an isomorphism of Hopf algebras $U_\hbar\mathfrak{b}_+ \simeq U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{b}_+$, inducing the identity on $U\mathfrak{h}[[\hbar]]$, where $\mathfrak{b}_+$ is the Borel subalgebra and $\mathfrak{h}$ is the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Thanks to the compatibility with the doubling operations

$$\mathcal{D}U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{b}_+ \simeq U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}_+$$

proved by Enriquez and Geer in [EG], the isomorphism for the Borel subalgebra induces an isomorphism $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g} \simeq U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}$.

Recall that the category $\mathcal{O}$ for $\mathfrak{g}$, denoted $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is defined to be the category of all $\mathfrak{h}$–diagonalizable $\mathfrak{g}$–modules V, whose set of weights $\mathsf{P}(V)$ belong to a union of finitely many cones

$$\mathsf{D}(\lambda_s) = \lambda_s + \sum_i \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_i \qquad \lambda_s \in \mathfrak{h}^*, s = 1, ..., r$$

and the weight subspaces are finite–dimensional. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}[[\hbar]]$ the category of trivially deformed $\mathfrak{g}$–representations,*i.e.,* representations of $\mathfrak{g}$ on topologically free $\mathsf{k}[[\hbar]]$–modules with the above properties (with weights in $\mathfrak{h}^*[[\hbar]]$).

In a similar way, one defines the category $\mathcal{O}_{U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}}$: it is the category of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$–modules which are topologically free over $\mathsf{k}[[\hbar]]$ and satisfy the same conditions as in the classical case.

The morphism of Lie bialgebras

$$\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}_+ \to \mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}_+/(\mathfrak{h} \simeq \mathfrak{h}^*)$$

gives rise to a pullback functor

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathcal{YD}(U\mathfrak{b}_+) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g},\Phi}[[\hbar]] \to \mathcal{YD}_{\Phi}(U\mathfrak{b}_+[[\hbar]])$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g},\Phi}$ denotes the category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ with the tensor structure of the Drinfeld category. Similarly, the morphism of Hopf algebras

$$DU_{\hbar}^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{b}_+ \to U_{\hbar}^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g} \simeq U_{\hbar}\mathfrak{g}$$

gives rise to a pullback functor

$$\mathcal{O}_{U_{\hbar}\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathcal{YD}(U_{\hbar}^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{b}_+)$$

Theorem. *The equivalence F^{EK} reduces to an equivalence of braided tensor categories*

$$F_{\mathcal{O}}^{EK} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g},\Phi}[[\hbar]] \to \mathcal{O}_{U_{\hbar}\mathfrak{g}}$$

which is isomorphic to the identity functor at the level of $\mathfrak{h}$–graded $\mathsf{k}[[\hbar]]$–modules.

4.11. **The isomorphism Ψ^{EK}.** In [EK6], Etingof–Kazhdan showed that the equivalence F^{EK} induces an isomorphism of algebras

$$\Psi^{EK} : \widehat{U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]} \to \widehat{U_{\hbar}\mathfrak{g}}$$

where

$$\widehat{U\mathfrak{g}} = \lim U_{\beta} \qquad U_{\beta} = U\mathfrak{g}/I_{\beta}, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^I$$

I_{β} being the left ideal generated by elements of weight less or equal β (analogously for $\widehat{U_{\hbar}\mathfrak{g}}$, cf. [EK6, Sec. 4]).

Proposition. *The isomorphism Ψ^{EK} coincides with the isomorphism induced by the equivalence $F_{\mathcal{O}}^{EK}$, as explained in Section 3.1.*

Proof. The identification of the two isomorphism is constructed in the following way:

(a) First, we show that there is a canonical map

$$\mathsf{End}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}}) \to \mathsf{C}_{\mathrm{End}(\widehat{U})}(\mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\widehat{U}))$$

(b) There is a *canonical* multiplication in $\widehat{U}$, so that
  (i) There is a canonical map

$$\mathsf{C}_{\mathrm{End}(\widehat{U})}(\mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\widehat{U})) \to \widehat{U}$$

(ii) For every $V \in \mathcal{O}$ the action of $U\mathfrak{g}$ lifts to an action of $\widehat{U}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U\mathfrak{g} \arrow[rr] \arrow[dr] & & \mathrm{End}(V) \\
& \widehat{U} \arrow[ur] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

(c) It defines a map $\widehat{U} \to \mathsf{End}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}})$ and we have an isomorphism of algebras

$$\widehat{U} \simeq \mathsf{End}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}})$$

□

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a semisimple Lie algebra, the equivalence of categories F^{EK} leads to an isomorphism of algebras

$$U(\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{b}_+)[[\hbar]] \simeq \mathcal{D}U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{b}_+ \Longrightarrow U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]] \simeq U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$$

which is the identity modulo h. Toledano Laredo proved in [TL4, Prop. 3.5] that such an isomorphism cannot be compatible with all the isomorphisms

$$U\mathfrak{sl}_2^{\alpha_i}[[\hbar]] \simeq U_\hbar\mathfrak{sl}_2^{\alpha_i} \qquad \forall i$$

where $\{\alpha_i\}$ are the simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}$. This amounts to a simple proof that the isomorphism Ψ^{EK} cannot be, in general, an isomorphism of D–algebras.

5. Generalized Etingof–Kazhdan functor

5.1. In this section, we define parabolic subalgebras induced by an inclusion of Manin triples

$$i_D : (\mathfrak{g}_D, \mathfrak{g}_{D,+}, \mathfrak{g}_{D,-}) \hookrightarrow (\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_+, \mathfrak{g}_-)$$

and define a relative version of the Verma modules M_+, M_-. We then use these to prove the following

Theorem. *There is a tensor functor*

$$\Gamma : \mathrm{Rep}_\Phi(U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]) \to \mathrm{Rep}_{\Phi_D}(U\mathfrak{g}_D[[\hbar]])$$

canonically isomorphic to the restriction functor i_D^*.

5.2. **Parabolic Lie subalgebras.** Given an inclusion of Manin triples $i_D : \mathfrak{g}_D \subset \mathfrak{g}$, we denote by $\mathfrak{m}_\pm$ the subspaces

$$\mathfrak{m}_\pm = \mathfrak{g}_\pm \cap (\mathfrak{g}_{D,\mp})^\perp$$

Proposition.

(i) *The subspaces* $\mathfrak{m}_\pm \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_\pm$ *are ideals in* $\mathfrak{g}_\pm$, *so that*

$$\mathfrak{g}_\pm = \mathfrak{m}_\pm \rtimes \mathfrak{g}_{D,\pm}$$

(ii) $[\mathfrak{m}_\pm, \mathfrak{g}_D] \subset \mathfrak{m}_\pm$ *so that the subspaces*

$$\mathfrak{p}_\pm = \mathfrak{m}_\pm \rtimes \mathfrak{g}_D$$

are Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$.

(iii) *If the inclusion i_D is compatible with a finite $\mathbb{N}$–grading, then the Lie subalgebras $\mathfrak{m}_\pm \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_\pm$ are coideals, i.e.,*

$$\delta(\mathfrak{m}_\pm) \subset \mathfrak{m}_\pm \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{D,\pm} + \mathfrak{g}_{D,\pm} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_\pm$$

and $\mathfrak{p}_\pm$ are Lie subbialgebras with homomorphisms of Lie bialgebras

$$\mathfrak{p}_\pm \subset \mathfrak{g} \qquad \mathfrak{p}_\pm \to \mathfrak{g}_D$$

Proof. For any $x \in \mathfrak{m}_+$ and $y_1, y_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_D$,

$$\langle [x, y_1], y_2 \rangle = \langle x, [y_1, y_2] \rangle = 0$$

and therefore $[\mathfrak{m}_+, \mathfrak{g}_D] \subset \mathfrak{m}_+$. For any $x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{m}_+$ and $y \in \mathfrak{g}_{D,-}$, we have, since $\mathfrak{g}_{D,-}$ is a Lie sub-bialgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_-$,

$$\langle [x_1, x_2], y \rangle = \langle x_1 \otimes x_2, \delta(y) \rangle = 0 \qquad \text{or} \qquad \langle [x_1, x_2], y \rangle = \langle x_1, [x_2, y] \rangle = 0$$

since $[x_2, y] \in \mathfrak{m}_+ \subset \mathfrak{g}_+$ that is isotropic. Therefore $\mathfrak{m}_+$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_+$. On the other hand, for any $x \in \mathfrak{m}_+$ and $y_1, y_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_D$,

$$\langle \delta(x), y_1 \otimes y_2 \rangle = \langle x, [y_1, y_2] \rangle = 0$$

and $\delta(\mathfrak{m}_+) \subset \mathfrak{m}_+ \otimes \mathfrak{g}_+ + \mathfrak{g}_+ \otimes \mathfrak{m}_+$, but $\mathfrak{m}_+$ is not in general a Lie subbialgebra. Analogously for $\mathfrak{m}_-$.
We then get a vector space decomposition $\mathfrak{g}_\pm = \mathfrak{m}_\pm \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{D,\pm}$ and a Lie bialgebra map $\mathfrak{g}_\pm \to \mathfrak{g}_{D,\pm}$. It is also possible to define the Lie subalgebras

$$\mathfrak{p}_\pm = \mathfrak{m}_\pm \oplus \mathfrak{g}_D \subset \mathfrak{g}$$

If we assume the existence of a compatible grading on $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_D$, as explained above, then the natural maps

$$\mathfrak{p}_\pm \subset \mathfrak{g} \qquad \mathfrak{p}_\pm \to \mathfrak{g}_D$$

are morphisms of Lie bialgebras. This completes the proof of the proposition. □

5.3. **Diagrammatic Lie bialgebras.** Assume now that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Kac-Moody algebras of Dynkin type $D_\mathfrak{g}$. For any connected Dynkin subdiagram $D \subset D_\mathfrak{g}$, we can identify a Lie sub-bialgebra $\mathfrak{g}_D \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, the Manin triple attached to $\mathfrak{g}_D$, $(\mathfrak{g}_D \oplus \mathfrak{h}_D, \mathfrak{b}_{D,+}, \mathfrak{b}_{D,-})$, is a graded Manin sub-triple of $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{b}_+, \mathfrak{b}_-)$.

5.4. The relative Verma Modules.

Definition. Given a sub-Manin triple $\mathfrak{g}_D \subset \mathfrak{g}$ and the subsequent decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m}_- \oplus \mathfrak{p}_+$, we define the *relative* Verma modules

$$L_- = \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{p}_+}^{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbf{1} \qquad N_+ = \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{m}_-}^{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbf{1}$$

Lemma. *For any sub-Manin triple $\mathfrak{g}_D \subset \mathfrak{g}$, the $\mathfrak{g}$-modules L_-, N_+^* are equicontinuous.*

5.4.1. *Equicontinuity of L_-.* As vector spaces,

$$L_- = \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}_+}^{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbf{1} \simeq U\mathfrak{m}_-$$

so it is natural to equip L_- with the discrete topology. Consequently, condition $(e1)$ becomes trivial and condition $(e2)$ reduces to verify that, for every element $v \in L_-$ the set

$$Y_v = \{b \in \mathfrak{g}_+ |\ b.v = 0\}$$

is a neighborhood of zero in $\mathfrak{g}_+$. Since $U\mathfrak{m}_-$ embeds naturally in $U\mathfrak{g}_-$ the proof is identical to [EK1, Lemma 7.2].
We proceed by induction on the length of $v = a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_n} \mathbf{1}_-$. If $n = 0$, then $v = \mathbf{1}_-$ and $Y_v = \mathfrak{g}_+$. If $n > 1$, then assume $v = a_j w$, with $w = a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_{n-1}} \mathbf{1}_-$ and Y_w open in $\mathfrak{g}_+$. For every $x \in \mathfrak{g}_+$

$$x.v = x.(a_j w) = [x, a_j].w + (a_j x).w$$

Call Z the subset of $\mathfrak{g}_+$

$$Z = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}_+ |\ [x, a_j] \in Y_w\}$$

Z is open in $\mathfrak{g}_+$, by continuity of bracket $[,]$, and clearly $Z \cap Y_w \subset Y_v$.

5.4.2. *Equicontinuity of N_+^*.* As a vector space,

$$N_+ = \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{m}_-}^{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbf{1} \simeq U\mathfrak{p}_+ \simeq \mathrm{colim}\, U_n\mathfrak{p}_+$$

where $\{U_n\mathfrak{p}_+\}$ is the standard filtration of $U\mathfrak{p}_+$ and

$$U_n\mathfrak{p}_+ \simeq \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n} S^j\mathfrak{p}_+ \simeq \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n}\bigoplus_{i=0}^{j} \left(S^{j-i}\mathfrak{g}_+ \otimes S^i\mathfrak{g}_{D,-}\right)$$

We turn this isomorphism into an isomorphism of topological vector spaces, taking on $S^{j-i}\mathfrak{g}_+$ and $S^i\mathfrak{g}_{D,-}$ the topology induced by the embeddings

$$S^{j-i}\mathfrak{g}_+ \hookrightarrow (\mathfrak{g}_-^{\otimes j-i})^* \qquad S^i\mathfrak{g}_{D,-} \hookrightarrow (\mathfrak{g}_{D,-}^{\otimes i})$$

With respect to this topology, $U_m\mathfrak{p}_+$ is closed inside $U_n\mathfrak{p}_+$ for $m < n$. N_+ is therefore equipped with the topology of the direct limit, i.e. $U \subset N_+$ is open iff $U \cap U_n\mathfrak{p}_+$ is open for each n.

In order to define the structure of $\mathfrak{g}$-module on N_+^* we need the following lemma.

Lemma. *Let $g \in \mathfrak{g}$. The map $\pi_{N_+}(g) : N_+ \to N_+$ is a continuous operator.*

Proof. Let $g \in \mathfrak{g}$. We need to show that for any neighborhood of the origin $U \subset N_+$, there exists a neighborhood of zero $U' \subset N_+$ such that $\pi_{N_+}(g)U' \subset U$. Since the topology on $S^i\mathfrak{g}_{D,-}$ is discrete, U is a subset of the form $\tilde{U} \otimes 1$ and the construction of U' follows from [[EK1], Lemma 7.3]. $\square$

As vector spaces, then, we have

$$N_+^* \simeq (U\mathfrak{p}_+)^* \simeq \lim (U_n\mathfrak{p}_+)^*$$

Define a filtration of N_+^*, $\{(N_+^*)_n\}$, by

$$0 \to (N_+^*)_n \to (U\mathfrak{p}_+)^* \to (U_n\mathfrak{p}_+)^* \to 0$$

So we have

$$N_+^* \supset (N_+^*)_0 \supset (N_+^*)_1 \supset \cdots \supset (N_+^*)_n \supset (N_+^*)_{n+1} \supset \cdots$$

and

$$N_+^* \simeq \lim N_+^*/(N_+^*)_n$$

Lemma. *$\{\pi_{N_+^*}(g)\}_{g\in\mathfrak{g}}$ is an equicontinuous family of operators.*

Proof. Let $f_n \in (N_+^*)_n$, i.e. $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{k}}(N_+, \mathsf{k})$ such that $f|_{U_n\mathfrak{p}_+} = 0$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ acts on N_+ simply by multiplication, clearly, if $g \in \mathfrak{p}_+$, $g.f_n$ restricted to $U_{n-1}\mathfrak{p}_+$ is the zero map. So

$$g.(N_+^*)_n \subset (N_+^*)_{n-1}$$

If $g \in \mathfrak{m}_-$ and $x_i \in U\mathfrak{p}_+$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, then in $U\mathfrak{g}$ we have

$$gx_1 \cdots x_n = x_1 \cdots x_n g - \sum_{i=0}^{n} x_1 \cdots x_{i-1}[x_i, g]x_{i+1} \cdots x_n$$

where $[x_i, g] \in \mathfrak{g}$. Iterating, we get $(g.f)(x_1 \cdots x_n) = 0$, therefore

$$g.(N_+^*)_n \subset (N_+^*)_n \subset (N_+^*)_{n-1}$$

Then, for any neighborhood of zero $U = (N_+^*)_n$, it is enough to take $U' = (N_+^*)_{n+1}$ to get

$$\pi_{N_+^*}(g)(N_+^*)_{n+1} \subset (N_+^*)_n \qquad \forall g \in \mathfrak{g}$$

$\square$

Lemma. *The map $\pi_{N_+^*} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{End}(N_+^*)$ is a continuous map.*

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{g}_-$ is discrete, it is enough to check that, for any $f \in N_+^*$ and $n \in \mathcal{N}$, the subset

$$Y(f,n) = \{b \in \mathfrak{g}_+ |\ b.f \in (N_+^*)_n\}$$

is open in $\mathfrak{g}_+$, i.e.

$$b^i.f \in (N_+^*)_n \qquad \text{for a.a. } i \in I$$

Since $f \in N_+^* \simeq \lim N_+^*/(N_+^*)_n$, we have $f = \{f_n\}$ where f_n is the class of f modulo $(N_+^*)_n$. Therefore $b^i.f \in (N_+^*)_n$ iff

$$(b^i.f)_n = b^i.f_{n+1} = 0$$

Now, for any $x_1 \cdots x_n \in U_n\mathfrak{p}_+$, we have

$$b^i.f_{n+1}(x_1 \cdots x_n) = -f_{n+1}(b^i x_1 \cdots x_n) = 0$$

for a.a. $i \in I$ and the lemma is proved (it is enough to exclude the indices corresponding to the generators involved in the expression of f_{n+1}).

As a vector spaces, we can identifies

$$\mathfrak{p}_+^* = \mathfrak{g}_+^* \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{D,-}^* \simeq \mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{D,+} = \mathfrak{p}_-$$

We can give as a basis for $\mathfrak{p}_+$ and $\mathfrak{p}_-$

$$\mathfrak{p}_+ \supset \{\{b^i\}_{i\in I}, \{a_r\}_{r\in I(D)}\} \qquad \mathfrak{p}_- \supset \{\{a_i\}_{i\in I}, \{b^r\}_{r\in I(D)}\}$$

and obvious relations

$$(b^i, a_j) = \delta_{ij} \qquad (b^i, b^r) = 0$$

$$(a_r, a_j) = 0 \qquad (a_r, b^s) = \delta_{rs}$$

with $i,j \in I$, $r,s \in I(D)$. We can then identify f_{n+1} with an element in $U_{n+1}\mathfrak{p}_-$. Call $T_{n+1}(f)$ the set of indices of all a_i involved in the expression of f_{n+1}. Excluding these finite set of indices we get the result. □

5.5. **Properties of relative Verma modules.** Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_+, \mathfrak{g}_-)$ be an arbitrary Manin triple, $(\mathfrak{g}_D, \mathfrak{g}_{D,+}, \mathfrak{g}_{D,-})$ a sub-Manin triple and $\mathrm{Rep}_\Phi(U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]])$ be the Drinfeld category associated to $\mathfrak{g}$. Let N_+, L_- be the relative Verma modules defined as before. The module are identified, respectively as topological vector spaces with

$$N_+ \simeq U\mathfrak{p}_+ \qquad L_- \simeq U\mathfrak{m}_-$$

Thus, we can define the maps $i_+ : N_+ \to N_+ \hat{\otimes} N_+$, $i_- : L_- \to L_- \hat{\otimes} L_-$ given by comultiplication in the universal enveloping algebras $U\mathfrak{p}_+$, $U\mathfrak{m}_-$. These maps are $U\mathfrak{g}$-intertwiners, since they are $U\mathfrak{p}_+$-intertwiner and $U\mathfrak{m}_-$-intertwiner, respectively, and they map the vector $\mathbf{1}_\pm$ to the $\mathfrak{m}_-$-invariant vector $\mathbf{1}_+ \otimes \mathbf{1}_+$ and the $\mathfrak{p}_+$-invariant vector $\mathbf{1}_- \otimes \mathbf{1}_-$, respectively.

Lemma. *We have*

$$\Phi(\mathbf{1}_-^{\otimes 3}) = \mathbf{1}_-^{\otimes 3} \qquad \Phi(\mathbf{1}_+^{\otimes 3}) = (\mathbf{1}_+^{\otimes 3})\Phi_D$$

Proof. To prove the first identity it is enough to notice that, since $\mathfrak{g}_+\mathbf{1}_- = 0$ and $\Omega = \sum(a_i \otimes b^i + b^i \otimes a_i)$,

$$\Omega_{ij}(\mathbf{1}_-^{\otimes 3}) = 0$$

Then $\Phi(\mathbf{1}_-^{\otimes 3}) = \mathbf{1}_-^{\otimes 3}$. To prove the second identity, we should notice that $\mathfrak{m}_-\mathbf{1}_+ = 0$ and that we can rewrite

$$\Omega = \sum_{j\in I_D}(a_j\otimes b^j + b^j\otimes a_j) + \sum_{i\in I\setminus I_D}(a_i\otimes b^i + b^i\otimes a_i) = \Omega_D + \sum_{i\in I\setminus I_D}(a_i\otimes b^i + b^i\otimes a_i)$$

where $\{a_j\}_{j\in I_D}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_{D,-}$ and $\{b^j\}_{j\in I_D}$ is the dual basis of $\mathfrak{g}_{D,+}$. Then

$$\Omega_{ij}(\mathbf{1}_+^{\otimes 3}) = \Omega_{D,ij}(\mathbf{1}_+^{\otimes 3})$$

and, since for any element $g \in \mathfrak{g}_D$, the right and the left $\mathfrak{g}_D$-action coincide on $\mathbf{1}_+$, i.e. $g.\mathbf{1}_+ = \mathbf{1}_+.g$, we have

$$\Omega_{ij}(\mathbf{1}_+^{\otimes 3}) = (\mathbf{1}_+^{\otimes 3})\Omega_{D,ij}$$

and consequently $\Phi(\mathbf{1}_+^{\otimes 3}) = (\mathbf{1}_+^{\otimes 3})\Phi_D$. □

Following [D3, Prop. 1.2], we consider the invertible element $T \in U\mathfrak{g} \otimes U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]$ satisfying relations:

$$\begin{aligned}(S\otimes S\otimes S)(\Phi^{321})\cdot(T\otimes 1)\cdot(\Delta\otimes 1)(T) &= (1\otimes T)(1\otimes\Delta)(T)\cdot\Phi\\ T\Delta(S(a)) &= (S\otimes S)(\Delta(a))T\end{aligned}$$

Let N_+^* be as before and $f, g \in N_+^*$. Consider the linear functional $N_+ \to \mathsf{k}$ defined by

$$v \mapsto (f\otimes g)(T\cdot i_+(v))$$

Since the action of T on $N_+\otimes N_+$ is continuous, this functional is continuous, so it belongs to N_+^* and allow us to define the map

$$i_+^\vee \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{k}}(N_+^*\otimes N_+^*, N_+^*)[[\hbar]]\ ,\ \ i_+^\vee(f\otimes g)(v) = (f\otimes g)(T\cdot i_+(v))$$

This map is continuous and it extends to a map from $N_+^*\hat{\otimes}N_+^*$ to N_+^*. We have

$$\begin{aligned} i_+^\vee(a(f\otimes g))(v) &= (f\otimes g)((S\otimes S)(\Delta(a))T.i_+(v)) = \\ = (f\otimes g)(T\Delta(S(a)).i_+(v)) &= i_+^\vee(f\otimes g)(S(a).v) = (a.i_+^\vee(f\otimes g))(v)\end{aligned}$$

and then $i_+^\vee \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(N_+^*\hat{\otimes}N_+^*, N_+^*)[[\hbar]]$.

Lemma. *We have the following relations:*

(a) $(i_-\otimes 1)i_- = (1\otimes i_-)i_-$ *in* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(L_-, L_-^{\otimes 3})$*;*

(b) *for any* $f, g, h \in M_+^*$*, we have*

$$i_+^\vee(1\otimes i_+^\vee)\Phi^\lambda(f\otimes g\otimes h) = i_+^\vee(i_+^\vee\otimes 1)\Phi_D^\rho(f\otimes g\otimes h)$$

Proof. The comultiplication in $U\mathfrak{m}_-$ is coassociative, i.e. $(i_-\otimes 1)i_-(x) = (1\otimes i_-)i_-(x)$. It is enough to show that for any vector $x\in L_-$

$$\Phi(i_-\otimes 1)i_-(x) = (1\otimes i_-)i_-(x)$$

This is a consequence of Lemma 5.5 and (a) is proved.
To prove (b), consider $v\in N_+$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&i_+^\vee(1\otimes i_+^\vee)(\Phi(f\otimes g\otimes h))(v\mathbf{1}_+) =\\
&\quad= (h\otimes g\otimes f)((S^{\otimes 3}(\Phi^{321})\cdot(T\otimes 1)\cdot(\Delta\otimes 1)(T))\cdot(i_+\otimes 1)i_+(v\mathbf{1}_+)) =\\
&\quad= (h\otimes g\otimes f)((1\otimes T)(1\otimes\Delta)(T)\cdot\Phi(i_+\otimes 1)i_+(v\mathbf{1}_+)) =\\
&\quad= (h\otimes g\otimes f)((1\otimes T)(1\otimes\Delta)(T)(1\otimes i_+)i_+(v\mathbf{1}_+)\Phi_D) =\\
&\quad= ((h\otimes g\otimes f)\Phi_D)((1\otimes T)(1\otimes\Delta)(T)(1\otimes i_+)i_+(v\mathbf{1}_+)) =\\
&\quad= i_+^\vee(i_+^\vee\otimes 1)((f\otimes g\otimes h)\Phi_D^{321})(v) =\\
&\quad= i_+^\vee(i_+^\vee\otimes 1)(\Phi_D^{-1})^\rho(f\otimes g\otimes h)(v)
\end{aligned}$$

and (b) is proved. □

5.6. **The fiber functor over $\mathfrak{g}_D$.**

To any representation $V[[\hbar]]\in\operatorname{Rep}\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]$, we can associate the $\mathsf{k}[[\hbar]]$-module

$$\Gamma(V) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(L_-, N_+^*\hat{\otimes}V)[[\hbar]]$$

denoting by $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ the set of continuous homomorphisms, equipped with the weak topology.

Lemma. *The complete vector space* $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(L_-, N_+^*\hat{\otimes}V)$ *is isomorphic to* V *as topological vector space. The isomorphism is given by*

$$\alpha_V : f\mapsto (\mathbf{1}_+\otimes 1)f(\mathbf{1}_-)$$

for any $f\in\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(L_-, N_+^*\hat{\otimes}V)$.

Proof. By Frobenius reciprocity

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(L_-, N_+^*\hat{\otimes}V)\simeq (N_+^*\hat{\otimes}V)^{\mathfrak{p}_+}$$

as a topological vector space via $f\mapsto f(\mathbf{1}_-)$. Consider the space $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{k}}(N_+, V)$ of continuous linear functionals from N_+ to V equipped with the weak topology and the map

$$\phi : (N_+^*\hat{\otimes}V)\to\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{k}}(N_+, V)\qquad \phi(f\otimes v)(x) = f(x)v$$

for $f\in N_+^*$, $x\in N_+$, $v\in V$. Clearly, ϕ is injective and continuous and

$$\phi((N_+^*\hat{\otimes}V)^{\mathfrak{p}_+})\subset\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{p}_+}(N_+, V)$$

Indeed, for any $p\in\mathfrak{p}_+$, $f\otimes v\in(N_+^*\hat{\otimes}V)^{\mathfrak{p}_+}$, we have $0 = p.(f\otimes v) = p.f\otimes v + f\otimes p.v$ and consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(f\otimes v)(p.\mathbf{1}_+) &= f(p.\mathbf{1}_+)v = (-p.f)(\mathbf{1}_+)v = \phi(-p.f\otimes v) =\\
&= \phi(f\otimes p.v) = f(\mathbf{1}_-)p.v = p.f(\mathbf{1}_-)v = p.\phi(f\otimes v)(\mathbf{1}_+)
\end{aligned}$$

We want to show that ϕ is surjective. Recall that $V \simeq \lim_{U\subset V} V/U$, where U is an open linear subspace in V. For any map $g \in \mathrm{Hom}^c_{\mathfrak{p}_+}(N_+, V)$, $g^{-1}(U) \cap (U\mathfrak{p}_+)_n$ is open in $(U\mathfrak{p}_+)_n$, i.e. it is a complement of a finite dimensional subspace (we are using the assumption that $\dim \mathfrak{g}_D < \infty$, otherwise, being $g_{D,-}$ discrete, it is in general possible to consider open subset that are complement of an infinite dimensional subspace). Then, composing with the projection $\pi_U : V \to V/U$, the map $g_U := \pi_U \circ g$ sends $(U\mathfrak{p}_+)_n$ into a finite dimensional linear subspace in V/U and, taking the limit in

$$(N_+^*\hat{\otimes}V)^{\mathfrak{p}_+} = \lim_n \lim_{U\subset V}((U\mathfrak{p}_+)^*_n\hat{\otimes}V/U)$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}^c_{\mathfrak{p}_+}(N_+, V) = \lim_n \lim_{U\subset V} \mathrm{Hom}^c_{\mathfrak{p}_+}((U\mathfrak{p}_+)_n, V/U)$$

it is possible to determine $g' \in (N_+^*\hat{\otimes}V)^{\mathfrak{p}_+}$ such that $g = \phi(g')$.

By Frobenius reciprocity, the space $\mathrm{Hom}^c_{\mathfrak{p}_+}(N_+, V)$ is isomorphic to V as a topological vector space via $f \mapsto f(\mathbf{1}_+)$. The lemma is proved. □

Unless otherwise specified, we will denote with $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ the set of continuous morphisms. We can easily define a $\mathfrak{g}_D$-action on $F(V)$ using the right $\mathfrak{g}_D$-action on N_+^*. In particular, for $f \in \Gamma(V)$ and $g \in U\mathfrak{g}_D$, $g.f \in \Gamma(V)$ is defined by

$$(g.f)(x) = f(x)(S(g)\otimes 1)$$

for $x \in M_+$.

Lemma. *The isomorphism $\alpha_V : \Gamma(V) \simeq V[[\hbar]]$ is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}_D$-modules.*

Proof. For any $g \in \mathfrak{g}_D$, we have $g.\mathbf{1}_- = 0$ and

$$0 = f(g.\mathbf{1}_-) = \Delta(g)f(\mathbf{1}_-) = (g\otimes 1 + 1\otimes g)f(\mathbf{1}_-)$$

for any $f \in F(V)$. Then, if $f(\mathbf{1}_-) = \sum f_r \otimes v_r \in N_+^*\hat{\otimes}V$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_V(g.f) &= (\mathbf{1}_+\otimes 1)(g.f)(\mathbf{1}_-)\\
&= -(\mathbf{1}_+\otimes 1)f(\mathbf{1}_-)(g\otimes 1)\\
&= -(\mathbf{1}_+\otimes 1)\textstyle\sum(f_r.g)\otimes v_r\\
&= \textstyle\sum f_r(\mathbf{1}_+.g)v_r\\
&= \textstyle\sum f_r(g.\mathbf{1}_+)v_r\\
&= -(\mathbf{1}_+\otimes 1)\textstyle\sum(g.f_r)\otimes v_r\\
&= -(\mathbf{1}_+\otimes 1)(g\otimes 1)f(\mathbf{1}_-)\\
&= (\mathbf{1}_+\otimes 1)(1\otimes g)f(\mathbf{1}_-)\\
&= g.(\mathbf{1}_+\otimes 1)f(\mathbf{1}_-)\\
&= g.\alpha_V(f)
\end{aligned}$$

□

As a consequence, $\Gamma(V)$ is isomorphic to V as equicontinuous $\mathfrak{g}_D$-module.

For any continuous $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, V')$, define a map $\Gamma(\varphi) : \Gamma(V) \to \Gamma(V')$ by

$$\Gamma(\varphi) : \; f \mapsto (1 \otimes \varphi) f$$

This map is clearly continuous and for all $g \in \mathfrak{g}_D$

$$\Gamma(\varphi)(g.f)(\mathbf{1}_-) = -(1 \otimes \varphi) f(\mathbf{1}_-)(g \otimes 1) = -(\Gamma(\varphi) f)(\mathbf{1}_-)(g \otimes \Gamma(\varphi) f)(\mathbf{1}_-)$$

then $\Gamma(\varphi) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_D}(\Gamma(V), \Gamma(V'))$.

Finally, it is clear that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Gamma(V) \arrow[r, "\Gamma(\varphi)"] \arrow[d, "\alpha_V"'] & \Gamma(V') \arrow[d, "\alpha_{V'}"] \\
V[[\hbar]] \arrow[r, "\varphi"] & V'[[\hbar]]
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative for all $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, V')$. Then, we have a well-defined functor

$$\Gamma : \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{eq}}\, U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]] \to \mathrm{Rep}^{\mathrm{eq}}\, U\mathfrak{g}_D[[\hbar]]$$

that is naturally isomorphic to the pullback functor induced by the inclusion $i_D : \mathfrak{g}_D \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ via the natural transformation

$$\alpha_V : \Gamma(V) \simeq i_D^* V[[\hbar]]$$

5.7. **Tensor structure on Γ.** From now on, when no confusion is possible, we will denote the tensor product in the categories $\mathrm{Rep}_{\Phi}(U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]])$, $\mathrm{Rep}_{\Phi_D}(U\mathfrak{g}_D[[\hbar]])$ by $\otimes$. Denote by

$$B_{1234} : (V_1 \otimes V_2) \otimes (V_3 \otimes V_4) \to V_1 \otimes ((V_2 \otimes V_3) \otimes V_4)$$

the composition

$$(V_1 \otimes V_2) \otimes (V_3 \otimes V_4) \xrightarrow{\Phi_{1,2,34}} V_1 \otimes (V_2 \otimes (V_3 \otimes V_4)) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \Phi^{-1}_{2,3,4}} V_1 \otimes ((V_2 \otimes V_3) \otimes V_4)$$

and by

$$B'_{1234} : (V_1 \otimes V_2) \otimes (V_3 \otimes V_4) \to (V_1 \otimes (V_2 \otimes V_3)) \otimes V_4$$

the composition

$$(V_1 \otimes V_2) \otimes (V_3 \otimes V_4) \xrightarrow{\Phi^{-1}_{12,3,4}} ((V_1 \otimes V_2) \otimes V_3) \otimes V_4 \xrightarrow{\Phi_{1,2,3}} (V_1 \otimes (V_2 \otimes V_3)) \otimes V_4$$

For any $v \in \Gamma(V), w \in \Gamma(W)$, define $J_{VW}(v \otimes w)$ to be the composition of morphisms

$$L_- \xrightarrow{i_-} L_- \otimes L_- \xrightarrow{v \otimes w} (N_+^* \otimes V) \otimes (N_+^* \otimes W) \xrightarrow{A} N_+^* \otimes ((V \otimes N_+^*) \otimes W) \to$$

$$\xrightarrow{\beta_{23}} N_+^* \otimes ((N_+^* \otimes V) \otimes W) \xrightarrow{A'} (N_+^* \otimes N_+^*) \otimes (V \otimes W) \xrightarrow{i_+^\vee \otimes 1} N_+^* \otimes (V \otimes W)$$

where the pair (A, A') can be chosen to be $(B_{N_+^*, V, N_+^*, W}, B^{-1}_{N_+^*, N_+^*, V, W})$ (as in the above diagram) or $(B'_{N_+^*, V, N_+^*, W}, B'^{-1}_{N_+^*, N_+^*, V, W})$.

The map $J_{VW}(v\otimes w)$ is clearly a continuous $\mathfrak{g}$-morphism from L_- to $N_+^*\otimes(V\otimes W)$, so we have a well-defined map

$$J_{VW}:\Gamma(V)\otimes\Gamma(W)\to\Gamma(V\otimes W)$$

Proposition. *The maps J_{VW} are isomorphisms and define a tensor structure on the functor Γ.*

Proof. It is obvious that the map J_{VW} is an isomorphism, since it is an isomorphism modulo $\hbar$. Indeed,

$$J_{VW}(v\otimes w)\equiv(i_+^*\otimes 1)(i\otimes s\otimes 1)(v\otimes w)i_- \mod \hbar$$

To prove that J_{VW} define a tensor structure on Γ we need to show that, for any $V_i\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{g}}^e$ the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\Gamma(V_1)\otimes\Gamma(V_2))\otimes\Gamma(V_3) \arrow[r, "J_{V_1V_2}\otimes 1"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_{D,123}"'] & \Gamma(V_1\otimes V_2)\otimes\Gamma(V_3) \arrow[r, "J_{V_1\otimes V_2,V_3}"] & \Gamma((V_1\otimes V_2)\otimes V_3) \arrow[d, "\Gamma(\Phi_{123})"] \\
\Gamma(V_1)\otimes(\Gamma(V_2)\otimes\Gamma(V_3)) \arrow[r, "1\otimes J_{V_2V_3}"] & \Gamma(V_1)\otimes\Gamma(V_2\otimes V_3) \arrow[r, "J_{V_1,V_2\otimes V_3}"] & \Gamma(V_1\otimes(V_2\otimes V_3))
\end{tikzcd}$$

For brevity, we will denote J_{ij} the map J_{V_i,V_j} and $J_{i\otimes j,k}$ the map $J_{V_i\otimes V_j,V_k}$. For every $v_i\in\Gamma(V_i)$, $i=1,2,3$, the map $\Gamma(\Phi)J_{1\otimes 2,3}(J_{12}\otimes 1)$ corresponds to the composition:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma(\Phi)J_{1\otimes 2,3}(J_{12}\otimes 1)(v_1\otimes v_2\otimes v_3)=\\
&\quad=(1\otimes\Phi)(i_+^*\otimes 1^{\otimes 3})A_4(1\otimes\beta_{1\otimes 2,N_+^*}\otimes 1)A_3((i_+^*\otimes 1)\otimes 1^{\otimes 3})(A_2\otimes 1\otimes 1)\\
&\qquad\qquad(1\otimes\beta_{N_+^*,1}\otimes 1^{\otimes 3})(A_1\otimes 1\otimes 1)(v_1\otimes v_2\otimes v_3)(i_-\otimes 1)i_-
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1&=B_{N_+^*,1,N_+^*,2} & A_3&=B_{N_+^*,1\otimes 2,N_+^*,3}\\
A_2&=B^{-1}_{N_+^*,N_+^*,1,2} & A_4&=B^{-1}_{N_+^*,N_+^*,1\otimes 2,3}
\end{aligned}$$

illustrated by the diagram

$$\begin{aligned}
&L_-\xrightarrow{\quad i_-\quad}L_-\otimes L_-\xrightarrow{\quad i_-\otimes 1\quad}(L_-\otimes L_-)\otimes L_-\\
&\xrightarrow{v_1\otimes v_2\otimes v_3}((N_+^*\otimes V_1)\otimes(N_+^*\otimes V_2))\otimes(N_+^*\otimes V_3)\xrightarrow{A_1\otimes 1\otimes 1}(N_+^*\otimes((V_1\otimes N_+^*)\otimes V_2))\otimes(N_+^*\otimes V_3)\\
&\xrightarrow{1\otimes\beta_{N_+^*,1}\otimes 1^{\otimes 3}}(N_+^*\otimes((N_+^*\otimes V_1)\otimes V_2))\otimes(N_+^*\otimes V_3)\xrightarrow{A_2\otimes 1\otimes 1}((N_+^*\otimes N_+^*)\otimes(V_1\otimes V_2))\otimes(N_+^*\otimes V_3)\\
&\xrightarrow{(i_+^*\otimes 1)\otimes 1^{\otimes 3}}(N_+^*\otimes(V_1\otimes V_2))\otimes(N_+^*\otimes V_3)\xrightarrow{\quad A_3\quad}N_+^*\otimes(((V_1\otimes V_2)\otimes N_+^*)\otimes V_3)\\
&\xrightarrow{1\otimes\beta_{1\otimes 2,N_+^*}\otimes 1}N_+^*\otimes((N_+^*\otimes(V_1\otimes V_2))\otimes V_3)\xrightarrow{\quad A_4\quad}(N_+^*\otimes N_+^*)\otimes((V_1\otimes V_2)\otimes V_3)\\
&\xrightarrow{\quad i_+^*\otimes 1^{\otimes 3}\quad}N_+^*\otimes((V_1\otimes V_2)\otimes V_3)\xrightarrow{\quad 1\otimes\Phi\quad}N_+^*\otimes(V_1\otimes(V_2\otimes V_3))
\end{aligned}$$

By functoriality of associativity and commutativity isomorphisms, we have

$$A_3(i_+^* \otimes 1^{\otimes 4}) = (i_+^* \otimes 1^{\otimes 4})A_5$$

where $A_5 = B_{N_+^* \otimes N_+^*, 1\otimes 2, N_+^*, 3}$,

$$(1 \otimes \beta_{1\otimes 2, N_+^*} \otimes 1)(i_+^* \otimes 1^{\otimes 4}) = (i_+^* \otimes 1^{\otimes 4})(1^{\otimes 2} \otimes \beta_{1\otimes 2, N_+^*} \otimes 1^{\otimes 2})$$

and

$$A_4(i_+^* \otimes 1^{\otimes 4}) = (i_+^* \otimes 1^{\otimes 4})A_6$$

where $A_6 = B^{-1}_{N_+^* \otimes N_+^*, N_+^*, 1\otimes 2, 3}$. Then

$$(1 \otimes \Phi_{123})(i_+^*(i_+^* \otimes 1) \otimes 1^{\otimes 3}) = (i_+^*(i_+^* \otimes 1) \otimes 1^{\otimes 3})(1^{\otimes 3} \otimes \Phi_{123})$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma(\Phi)J_{1\otimes 2,3}(J_{12} \otimes 1)(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3) = \\
&\quad = ((i_+^*(i_+^* \otimes 1)) \otimes 1^{\otimes 3})(1^{\otimes 3} \otimes \Phi_{123})A_6(1^{\otimes 2} \otimes \beta_{1\otimes 2, N_+^*} \otimes 1^{\otimes 2})A_5(A_2 \otimes 1^{\otimes 2}) \\
&\qquad\qquad (1 \otimes \beta_{N_+^*,1} \otimes 1^{\otimes 3})(A_1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3)(i_- \otimes 1)i_-
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the map $J_{1,2\otimes 3}(1 \otimes J_{23})\Phi_D$ corresponds to the composition:

$$\begin{aligned}
&J_{1,2\otimes 3}(1 \otimes J_{23})\Phi_D(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3) = \\
&\quad = (i_+^* \otimes 1^{\otimes 3})A_4'(1 \otimes \beta_{N_+^*,1} \otimes 1^{\otimes 2})A_3'(1^{\otimes 2} \otimes i_+^* \otimes 1^{\otimes 2})(1 \otimes 1 \otimes A_2') \\
&\qquad\qquad (1^{\otimes 3} \otimes \beta_{2, N_+^*} \otimes 1)(1 \otimes 1 \otimes A_1')\Phi_D(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3)(1 \otimes i_-)i_-
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1' &= B_{N_+^*, 2, N_+^*, 3} & \qquad A_3' &= B_{N_+^*, 1, N_+^*, 2\otimes 3} \\
A_2' &= B^{-1}_{N_+^*, N_+^*, 2, 3} & \qquad A_4' &= B^{-1}_{N_+^*, N_+^*, 1, 2\otimes 3}
\end{aligned}$$

illustrated by the diagram

$$\begin{aligned}
&L_- \xrightarrow{\quad i_- \quad} L_- \otimes L_- \xrightarrow{\quad 1\otimes i_- \quad} L_- \otimes (L_- \otimes L_-) \\
&\xrightarrow{\Phi_D(v_1\otimes v_2\otimes v_3)} (N_+^* \otimes V_1) \otimes ((N_+^* \otimes V_2) \otimes (N_+^* \otimes V_3)) \xrightarrow{1\otimes 1\otimes A_1'} (N_+^* \otimes V_1) \otimes (N_+^* \otimes ((V_2 \otimes N_+^*) \otimes V_3)) \\
&\xrightarrow{1^{\otimes 3}\otimes\beta_{2,N_+^*}\otimes 1} (N_+^* \otimes V_1) \otimes (N_+^* \otimes ((N_+^* \otimes V_2) \otimes V_3)) \xrightarrow{1\otimes 1\otimes A_2'} (N_+^* \otimes V_1) \otimes ((N_+^* \otimes N_+^*) \otimes (V_2 \otimes V_3)) \\
&\xrightarrow{1^{\otimes 2}\otimes i_+^*\otimes 1^{\otimes 2}} (N_+^* \otimes V_1) \otimes (N_+^* \otimes (V_2 \otimes V_3)) \xrightarrow{\quad A_3' \quad} N_+^* \otimes ((V_1 \otimes N_+^*) \otimes (V_2 \otimes V_3)) \\
&\xrightarrow{1\otimes\beta_{1,N_+^*}\otimes 1} N_+^* \otimes ((N_+^* \otimes V_1) \otimes (V_2 \otimes V_3)) \xrightarrow{\quad A_4' \quad} (N_+^* \otimes N_+^*) \otimes (V_1 \otimes (V_2 \otimes V_3)) \\
&\xrightarrow{\quad i_+^*\otimes 1^{\otimes 3} \quad} N_+^* \otimes (V_1 \otimes (V_2 \otimes V_3))
\end{aligned}$$

By functoriality of associativity and commutativity isomorphisms, we have

$$A_3'(1^{\otimes 2} \otimes i_+^* \otimes 1^{\otimes 2}) = (1^{\otimes 2} \otimes i_+^* \otimes 1^{\otimes 2})A_5'$$

where $A'_5 = B_{N_+^*,1,N_+^*\otimes N_+^*,2\otimes 3}$,

$$(1\otimes\beta_{1,N_+^*}\otimes 1^{\otimes 2})(1^{\otimes 2}\otimes i_+^*\otimes 1^{\otimes 2}) = (1\otimes i_+^*\otimes 1^{\otimes 3})(1\otimes\beta_{1,N_+^*\otimes N_+^*}\otimes 1^{\otimes 2})$$

and

$$A'_4(1\otimes i_+^*\otimes 1^{\otimes 3}) = (1\otimes i_+^*\otimes 1^{\otimes 3})A'_6$$

where $A'_6 = B^{-1}_{N_+^*,N_+^*\otimes N_+^*,1,2\otimes 3}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{1,2\otimes 3}(1\otimes J_{23})\Phi_D(v_1\otimes v_2\otimes v_3) = \\ = (i_+^*\otimes 1^{\otimes 3})((1\otimes i_+^*)\otimes 1^{\otimes 3})A'_6(1\otimes\beta_{1,N_+^*\otimes N_+^*}\otimes 1^{\otimes 2})A'_5(1^{\otimes 2}\otimes A'_2) \\ (1^{\otimes 3}\otimes\beta_{2,N_+^*}\otimes 1)(1\otimes 1\otimes A'_1)\Phi_D(v_1\otimes v_2\otimes v_3)(1\otimes i_-)i_- \end{aligned}$$

Call $A : ((N_+^*\otimes V_1)\otimes(N_+^*\otimes V_2))\otimes(N_+^*\otimes V_3)\to((N_+^*\otimes N_+^*)\otimes N_+^*)\otimes((V_1\otimes V_2)\otimes V_3)$ the map

$$A = A_6(1^{\otimes 2}\otimes\beta_{1\otimes 2,N_+^*}\otimes 1^{\otimes 2})A_5(A_2\otimes 1^{\otimes 2})(1\otimes\beta_{N_+^*,1}\otimes 1^{\otimes 3})(A_1\otimes 1\otimes 1)$$

and $B : (N_+^*\otimes V_1)\otimes((N_+^*\otimes V_2)\otimes(N_+^*\otimes V_3))\to(N_+^*\otimes(N_+^*\otimes N_+^*))\otimes(V_1\otimes(V_2\otimes V_3))$ the map

$$B = A'_6(1\otimes\beta_{1,N_+^*\otimes N_+^*}\otimes 1^{\otimes 2})A'_5(1^{\otimes 2}\otimes A'_2)(1^{\otimes 3}\otimes\beta_{2,N_+^*}\otimes 1)(1\otimes 1\otimes A'_1)$$

We have to show that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& L_- \arrow[dl, "(i_-\otimes 1)i_-"'] \arrow[dr, "(1\otimes i_-)i_-"] & \\
(L_-\otimes L_-)\otimes L_- \arrow[rr, equal] \arrow[d, "v_1\otimes v_2\otimes v_3"'] & & L_-\otimes(L_-\otimes L_-) \arrow[d, "\Phi_D(v_1\otimes v_2\otimes v_3)"] \\
((N_+^*\otimes V_1)\otimes(N_+^*\otimes V_2))\otimes(N_+^*\otimes V_3) \arrow[rr, "\Phi"] \arrow[d, "A"'] & & (N_+^*\otimes V_1)\otimes((N_+^*\otimes V_2)\otimes(N_+^*\otimes V_3)) \arrow[d, "B"] \\
((N_+^*\otimes N_+^*)\otimes N_+^*)\otimes((V_1\otimes V_2)\otimes V_3) \arrow[rr, "\Phi\otimes\Phi"] \arrow[d, "(i_+^*(i_+^*\otimes 1))\otimes 1^{\otimes 3}"'] & & (N_+^*\otimes(N_+^*\otimes N_+^*))\otimes(V_1\otimes(V_2\otimes V_3)) \arrow[d, "(i_+^*(1\otimes i_+^*))\otimes 1^{\otimes 3}"] \\
N_+^*\otimes((V_1\otimes V_2)\otimes V_3) \arrow[rr, "1\otimes\Phi"] & & N_+^*\otimes(V_1\otimes(V_2\otimes V_3))
\end{tikzcd}$$

Using the pentagon and the hexagon axiom, we can show that

$$(\Phi\otimes\Phi)A = B\Phi$$

We have to show that

$$\Gamma(\Phi)J_{1\otimes 2,3}(J_{12}\otimes 1)(v_1\otimes v_2\otimes v_3) = J_{1,2\otimes 3}(1\otimes J_{23})\Phi_D(v_1\otimes v_2\otimes v_3)$$

in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(L_-, N_+^* \otimes (V_1 \otimes (V_2 \otimes V_3)))$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
&J_{1,2\otimes 3}(1\otimes J_{23})\Phi_D(v_1\otimes v_2\otimes v_3) = \\
&\quad = (i_+^*(1\otimes i_+^*)\otimes 1^{\otimes 3})B\Phi_D(v_1\otimes v_2\otimes v_3)(1\otimes i_-)i_-(\mathbf{1}_-)\\
&\quad = (i_+^*(1\otimes i_+^*)\otimes 1^{\otimes 3})B\big((v_1\otimes v_2\otimes v_3)(1\otimes i_-)i_-(\mathbf{1}_-)\big).(\Phi_D^{-1})'\\
&\quad = (i_+^*(1\otimes i_+^*)\otimes 1^{\otimes 3})\big(B(v_1\otimes v_2\otimes v_3)\Phi^{-1}(1\otimes i_-)i_-(\mathbf{1}_-)\big).(\Phi_D^{-1}\otimes 1)\\
&\quad = (i_+^*(1\otimes i_+^*)\otimes 1^{\otimes 3})\big(B\Phi(v_1\otimes v_2\otimes v_3)(1\otimes i_-)i_-(\mathbf{1}_-)\big).(\Phi_D^{-1}\otimes 1)\\
&\quad = (i_+^*(1\otimes i_+^*)\otimes 1^{\otimes 3})\big((\Phi\otimes\Phi)A(v_1\otimes v_2\otimes v_3)(i_-\otimes 1)i_-(\mathbf{1}_-)\big).(\Phi_D^{-1}\otimes 1)\\
&\quad = (1\otimes\Phi)((i_+^*(1\otimes i_+^*)\Phi)\otimes 1^{\otimes 3})\big(A(v_1\otimes v_2\otimes v_3)(i_-\otimes 1)i_-(\mathbf{1}_-)\big).(\Phi_D^{-1}\otimes 1)
\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$A(v_1\otimes v_2\otimes v_3)(i_-\otimes 1)i_-(\mathbf{1}_-) = \sum (f'\otimes f''\otimes f''')\otimes(v'\otimes v''\otimes v''')$$

with $(f'\otimes f''\otimes f''')\in (N_+^*)^{\otimes 3}$ and $(v'\otimes v''\otimes v''')\in (V_1\otimes V_2\otimes V_3)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&J_{1,2\otimes 3}(1\otimes J_{23})\Phi_D(v_1\otimes v_2\otimes v_3) = \\
&\quad = (1\otimes\Phi)((i_+^*(1\otimes i_+^*)\Phi)\otimes 1^{\otimes 3})\Big(\sum (f'\otimes f''\otimes f''')\otimes(v'\otimes v''\otimes v''')\Big).(\Phi_D^{-1}\otimes 1)\\
&\quad = (1\otimes\Phi)\sum ((i_+^*(1\otimes i_+^*))\Phi(f'\otimes f''\otimes f''')\Phi_D^{-1})\otimes(v'\otimes v''\otimes v''')\\
&\quad = (1\otimes\Phi)\sum ((i_+^*(i_+^*\otimes 1))(f'\otimes f''\otimes f'''))\otimes(v'\otimes v''\otimes v''')\\
&\quad = (1\otimes\Phi)((i_+^*(i_+^*\otimes 1))\otimes 1^{\otimes 3})A(v_1\otimes v_2\otimes v_3)(i_-\otimes 1)i_-(\mathbf{1}_-)\\
&\quad = \Gamma(\Phi)J_{1\otimes 2,3}(J_{12}\otimes 1)(v_1\otimes v_2\otimes v_3)
\end{aligned}$$

□

This complete the proof of Theorem 5.1.

6. Quantization of Verma modules

This section and the next contain results about the quantization of classical Verma modules, which are required to construct the morphism of D–categories between the representation theory of $U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]$ and that of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$. In particular, from now on, we will assume the existence of a finite $\mathbb{N}$–grading on $\mathfrak{g}$, which induces on $\mathfrak{g}$ a Lie bialgebra structure and allows us to consider the quantization of $\mathfrak{g}$ through the Etingof–Kazhdan functor, $U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}$.

6.1. Quantum Verma Modules.

Because of the functoriality of the quantization defined by Etingof and Kazhdan in [EK2], in the category of Drinfeld-Yetter modules over $U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_+$ we can similarly define *quantum Verma modules.*

The standard inclusions of Lie bialgebras $\mathfrak{g}_\pm \subset \mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathcal{D}\mathfrak{g}_-$ lift to $U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_\pm \subset U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g} \simeq DU_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_-$, and we can define the induced modules of the trivial representation over $U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_\pm$

$$M_\pm^\hbar = \mathrm{Ind}_{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_\pm}^{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}} \mathsf{k}[[\hbar]]$$

Similarly, we have Hopf algebra maps $U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{p}_\pm \subset U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}$ and $U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{p}_\pm \to U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_D$, and we can define induced modules

$$L_-^\hbar = \mathrm{Ind}_{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{p}_+}^{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}} \mathsf{k}[[\hbar]] \qquad N_+^\hbar = \mathrm{Ind}_{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{p}_-}^{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}} U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_D$$

We want to show that the equivalence $F^{EK} : \mathcal{YD}_\Phi(U\mathfrak{g}_-)[[\hbar]] \to \mathcal{YD}_{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_-}$ matches these modules. We start proving the statement for M_-, M_+^*.

6.2. **Quantization of $M_\pm$.** We denote by $(M_+^\hbar)^*$ the $U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}$–module

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{k}}(\mathrm{Ind}_{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_-}^{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}} \mathsf{k}[[\hbar]], \mathsf{k}[[\hbar]])$$

Theorem. *In the category of left $U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}$–modules,*

(a) $F(M_-) \simeq M_-^\hbar$

(b) $F(M_+^*) \simeq (M_+^\hbar)^*$

Proof. The Hopf algebra $U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_-$ is constructed on the space $F(M_-)$ with unit element $u \in F(M_-)$ defined by $u(1_-) = \epsilon_+ \otimes 1_-$, where $\epsilon_+ \in M_+^*$ is defined as $\epsilon_+(x1_+) = \epsilon(x)$ for any $x \in U\mathfrak{g}_+$. Consequently, the action of $U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_-$ on $u \in F(M_-)$ is free, as multiplication with the unit element. The coaction of $U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_-$ on $F(M_-)$ is defined using the $\mathcal{R}$-matrix associated to the braided tensor functor F,*i.e.,*

$$\pi_{M_-}^* : F(M_-) \to F(M_-) \otimes F(M_-), \quad \pi^*(x) = \mathcal{R}(u \otimes x)$$

where $x \in F(M_-)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{VW} \in \mathrm{End}_{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}}(F(V) \otimes F(W))$ is given by $\mathcal{R}_{VW} = \sigma J_{WV}^{-1} F(\beta_{VW}) J_{VW}$, $\{J_{V,W}\}_{V,W \in \mathcal{YD}_{U\mathfrak{g}_-}}$ being the tensor structure on F. It is easy to show that $J(u \otimes u)|_{1_-} = \epsilon_+ \otimes 1_- \otimes 1_-$, and, since $\Omega(1_- \otimes 1_-) = 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{R}(u \otimes u) = u \otimes u$$

For a generic $V \in \mathcal{YD}_{U\mathfrak{g}_-}[[\hbar]]$, the action of $U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_-^*$ is defined as

$$F(M_-)^* \otimes F(V) \to F(M_-)^* \otimes F(M_-) \otimes F(V) \to F(V)$$

This means, in particular that, for every $\phi \in I \subset U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_-^*$, where I is the maximal ideal corresponding to $u^\perp$, we have $\phi.u = 0$. This proves (a).

The module M_+^* satisfies the following universal property: for any V in the Drinfeld category of *equicontinuous* $U\mathfrak{g}$-modules, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U\mathfrak{g}}(V, M_+^*) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{U\mathfrak{g}_-}(V, \mathsf{k})$$

Indeed, to any map of $U\mathfrak{g}$-modules $f : V \to M_+^*$, we can associate $\hat{f} : V \to \mathsf{k}$, $\hat{f}(v) = \langle f(v), 1_+ \rangle$. It is clear that $\hat{f}$ factors through $V/\mathfrak{g}_-.V$. The equicontinuity property is necessary to show the continuity of $\hat{f}$ with respect

to the topology on V.
Since F defines an equivalence of categories, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}}(F(V),F(M_+^*))\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_{U\mathfrak{g}}(V,M_+^*)[[\hbar]]\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_{U\mathfrak{g}_-}(V,\mathsf{k})[[\hbar]]$$

Using the natural isomorphism $\alpha_V:F(V)\to V[[\hbar]]$, defined by

$$\alpha_V(f)=\langle f(1_-),1_+\otimes\mathrm{id}\rangle$$

we obtain a map $\mathrm{Hom}_{U\mathfrak{g}_-}(V,\mathsf{k})[[\hbar]]\to\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{k}}(F(V),\mathsf{k}[[\hbar]])$. Consider now the linear isomorphism $\alpha:U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_-\to U\mathfrak{g}_-[[\hbar]]$ and for any $x\in U\mathfrak{g}_-$ consider the $\mathfrak{g}$-intertwiner $\psi_x:M_-\to M_+^*\otimes M_-$ defined by $\psi_x(1_-)=\epsilon_+\otimes x1_-$. It is clear that, if $f(1_-)=f_{(1)}\otimes f_{(2)}$ in Swedler's notation,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_V(\psi_x.f) &= \langle(i_+^\vee\otimes\mathrm{id})\Phi^{-1}(\mathrm{id}\otimes f)(\epsilon_+\otimes x.1_-),1_+\otimes\mathrm{id}\rangle\\ &= \langle\Phi^{-1}(\epsilon_+\otimes\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta(x))(\mathrm{id}\otimes f_{(1)}\otimes f_{(2)}),(T\otimes\mathrm{id})(1_+\otimes 1_+\,\mathrm{id})\rangle\\ &= \langle\Delta(x)(f_{(1)}\otimes f_{(2)}),1_+\otimes\mathrm{id}\rangle\\ &= \langle f_{(1)},1_+\rangle x.f_{(2)}\\ &= x.\alpha_V(f)\end{aligned}$$

using the fact that $(\epsilon\otimes 1\otimes 1)(\Phi)=1^{\otimes 2}$ and $(\epsilon\otimes 1)(T)=1$. So, clearly, if $\phi\in\mathrm{Hom}_{U\mathfrak{g}_-}(V,\mathsf{k})$, then $\phi\circ\alpha_V\in\mathrm{Hom}_{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_-}(F(V),\mathsf{k}[[\hbar]])$. Then $F(M_+^*)$ satisfies the universal property of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{k}}(\mathrm{Ind}_{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_-}^{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}}\mathsf{k}[[\hbar]],\mathsf{k}[[\hbar]])$ and (b) is proved. □

6.3. **Quantization of relative Verma modules.** The proof of (b) shows that the linear functional $F(M_+^*)\to\mathsf{k}[[\hbar]]$ is, in fact, the trivial deformation of the functional $M_+^*\to\mathsf{k}$. These results extend to the relative case and hold for the right $\mathfrak{g}_D$–action on L_-,N_+^*.

Theorem. *In the category* $\mathcal{YD}_{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_-}$

(a) $F(L_-)\simeq L_-^\hbar$

(b) $F(N_+^*)\simeq(N_+^\hbar)^*$

Moreover, as right $U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_D$*–module*

(c) $F_D(L_-)\simeq L_-^\hbar$

(d) $F_D(N_+^*)\simeq(N_+^\hbar)^*$

The proof of (a) and (b) amounts to constructing the morphisms

$$\mathsf{k}[[\hbar]]\to F(L_-)\qquad F(N_+^*)\to U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_D^*$$

equivariant under the action of $U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{p}_+$ and $U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{p}_-$ respectively.

A direct construction along the lines of the proof of Theorem 6.2 is however not straightforward. We prove this theorem in the next section by using a description of the modules L_-, N_+^* and their images through F^{EK} via Prop categories. These descriptions show that the classical intertwiners

$$\mathsf{k} \to L_- \qquad N_+^* \to U\mathfrak{g}_D^*$$

satisfy the required properties and yield canonical identifications

$$F^{EK}(L_-) \simeq L_-^\hbar \qquad F^{EK}(N_+^*) \simeq (N_+^\hbar)^*$$

7. Universal relative Verma modules

In this section, we prove Theorem 6.3 by using suitable Prop (*product-permutation*) categories compatible with the EK universal quantization functor [EK2, EG].

7.1. Prop **description of the EK quantization functor.**

We will briefly review the construction of Etingof–Kazhdan in the setting of Prop categories [EK2].

A Prop is a symmetric tensor category generated by one object. More precisely, a *cyclic category over S* is the datum of

- a symmetric monoidal k–linear category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes)$ whose objects are non–negative integers, such that $[n] = [1]^{\otimes n}$ and the unit object is $[0]$
- a bigraded set $S = \bigcup_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} S_{nm}$ of morphism of $\mathcal{C}$, with

$$S_{nm} \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}([m], [n])$$

such that any morphism of $\mathcal{C}$ can be obtained from the morphisms in S and permutation maps in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}([m], [m])$ by compositions, tensor products or linear combinations over k. We denote by $\mathcal{F}_S$ the free cyclic category over S. Then there exists a unique symmetric tensor functor $\mathcal{F}_S \to \mathcal{C}$, and the following holds (cf. [EK2])

Proposition. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be any cyclic category generated by a set S of morphisms. Then $\mathcal{C}$ has the form $\mathcal{F}_S/\mathcal{I}$, where $\mathcal{I}$ is a tensor ideal in $\mathcal{F}_S$.*

Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a symmetric monoidal k–linear category, and X an object in $\mathcal{N}$. A *linear algebraic structure of type $\mathcal{C}$ on X* is a symmetric tensor functor $\mathcal{G}_X : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{N}$ such that $\mathcal{G}_X([1]) = X$. A linear algebraic structure of type $\mathcal{C}$ on X is a collection of morphisms between tensor powers of X satisfying certain consistency relations.

We mainly consider the case of *non–degenerate* cyclic categories, *i.e.*, symmetric tensor categories with injective maps $k[\mathfrak{S}_n] \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}([n], [n])$. We first consider the Karoubian envelope of $\mathcal{C}$ obtained by formal addition to $\mathcal{C}$ of the kernel of the idempotents in $k[\mathfrak{S}_n]$ acting on $[n]$. Furthermore, we consider the closure under inductive limits. In this category, denoted $S(\mathcal{C})$, every object is isomorphic to a direct sum of indecomposables, corresponding to irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ (cf. [EK2, EG]). In particular, in $S(\mathcal{C})$, we can consider the symmetric algebra

$$S[1] = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} S^n[1]$$

If $\mathcal{N}$ is closed under inductive limits, then any linear algebraic structure of type $\mathcal{C}$ extends to an additive symmetric tensor functor

$$\mathcal{G}_X : S(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{N}$$

We introduce the following fundamental PROPs .

- **Lie bialgebras.** In this case the set S consists of two elements of bidegrees $(2,1),(1,2)$, the universal commutator and cocommutator. The category $\mathcal{C} = \underline{LBA}$ is $\mathcal{F}_S/\mathcal{I}$, where $\mathcal{I}$ is generated by the classical five relations.

- **Hopf algebras.** In this case, the set S consists of six elements of bidegrees $(2,1),(1,2),(0,1),(1,0),(1,1,),(1,1)$, the universal product, coproduct, unit, count, antipode, inverse antipode. The category $\mathcal{C} = \underline{HA}$ is $\mathcal{F}_S/\mathcal{I}$, where $\mathcal{I}$ is generated by the classical four relations.

The quantization functor described in Section 4 can be described in this generality, as stated by the following (cf. [EK2, Thm.1.2])

Theorem. *There exists a universal quantization functor* $Q : \underline{HA} \to S(\underline{LBA})$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}_-$ be the canonical Lie dialgebra [1] in $\underline{LBA}$ with commutator μ and cocommutator δ. Let $U\mathfrak{g}_- := S\mathfrak{g}_- \in S(\underline{LBA})$ be the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}_-$. The construction of the Etingof–Kazhdan quantization functor amounts to the introduction of a Hopf algebra structure on $U\mathfrak{g}_-$, which coincides with the standard one modulo $\langle\delta\rangle$, and yields the Lie bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}_-$ when considerd modulo $\langle\delta^2\rangle$. This Hopf algebra defines the object $Q[1]$, where $[1]$ is the generating object in $\underline{HA}$. The formulae used to defined the Hopf structure coincide with those defined in [EK1, Part II] and described in Section 4. In particular, they rely on the construction of the Verma modules

$$M_- := S\mathfrak{g}_-, M_+^* = \widehat{S\mathfrak{g}_-}$$

realized in the category of Drinfeld–Yetter modules over $\mathfrak{g}_-$ as object of $\underline{\text{LBA}}$.

7.2. PROPs for split pairs of Lie bialgebras.

Let $(\mathfrak{g}_-, \mathfrak{g}_{D,-})$ be a *split* pair of Lie bialgebras, *i.e.,* there are Lie bialgebra maps

$$\mathfrak{g}_{D,-} \xrightarrow{i} \mathfrak{g}_- \xrightarrow{p} \mathfrak{g}_{D,-}$$

such that $p \circ i = \text{id}$. These maps induce an inclusion $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{g}_{D,-} \subset \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{g}_-$ and consequently an inclusion of Manin triple $(\mathfrak{g}_D, \mathfrak{g}_{D,-}, \mathfrak{g}_{D,+}) \subset (\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_-, \mathfrak{g}_+)$, as described in Section 5.2.

Definition. We denote by $\underline{\mathrm{PLBA}}$ the Karoubian envelope of the multicolored Prop, whose class of objects is generated by the Lie bialgebra objects $[\mathfrak{g}_-], [\mathfrak{g}_{D,-}]$, related by the maps $i : [\mathfrak{g}_{D,-}] \to [\mathfrak{g}_-]$, $p : [\mathfrak{g}_-] \to [\mathfrak{g}_{D,-}]$, such that $p \circ i = \mathrm{id}_{[\mathfrak{g}_{D,-}]}$.

The Karoubian envelope implies that $[\mathfrak{m}_-] := \ker(p) \in \underline{PLBA}$.

Proposition. *The multicolored* Prop $\underline{PLBA}$ *is endowed with a pair of functors* U, L

$$U, L : \underline{LBA} \to \underline{PLBA} \qquad U[1] := [\mathfrak{g}_-], \quad L[1] := [\mathfrak{g}_{D,-}]$$

and natural transformations i, p*, induced by the maps* i, p *in* $\underline{PLBA}$*,*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\underline{LBA} \arrow[r, bend left, "U", ""{name=U, below}] \arrow[r, bend right, "L"', ""{name=L, above}] & \underline{PLBA}
\arrow[from=L, to=U, Rightarrow, shift left=1.5ex, "i"]
\arrow[from=U, to=L, Rightarrow, "p"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that $p \circ i = \mathrm{id}$. *Moreover, it satisfies the following universal property: for any tensor category* $\mathcal{C}$*, closed under kernels of projections, with the same property as* $\underline{PLBA}$ *, there exists a unique tensor functor* $\underline{PLBA} \to \mathcal{C}$ *such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\underline{LBA} \arrow[r, bend left, "U", ""{name=U, below}] \arrow[r, bend right, "L"', ""{name=L, above}] \arrow[rr, bend left=60] \arrow[rr, bend right=60] & \underline{PLBA} \arrow[r, dashed] & \mathcal{C}
\arrow[from=L, to=U, Rightarrow, shift left=1.5ex, "i"]
\arrow[from=U, to=L, Rightarrow, "p"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

7.3. **Props for split pairs of Hopf algebras.** We can analogously define suitable Prop categories corresponding to split pairs of Hopf algebras. In particular, we consider the Prop $\underline{\mathrm{PHA}}$ characterized by functors $U_\hbar, L_\hbar$ and natural transformations $p_\hbar, i_\hbar$ satisfying

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\underline{HA} \arrow[r, bend left, "U_\hbar", ""{name=U, below}] \arrow[r, bend right, "L_\hbar"', ""{name=L, above}] \arrow[rr, bend left=60] \arrow[rr, bend right=60] & \underline{PHA} \arrow[r, dashed, "\exists!"] & \mathcal{C}
\arrow[from=L, to=U, Rightarrow, shift left=1.5ex, "i_\hbar"]
\arrow[from=U, to=L, Rightarrow, "p_\hbar"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\underline{\mathrm{HA}}$ denotes the Prop category of Hopf algebras. These also satisfy

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\underline{HA} \arrow[r, "Q^{EK}"] \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] & S(\underline{LBA}) \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] \\
\underline{PHA} \arrow[r, "Q_{\underline{PLBA}}"] & S(\underline{PLBA})
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $Q_{\underline{PLBA}}$ is the extension of the Etingof–Kazhdan quantization functor to $\underline{PLBA}$, obtaine by the universal property described above with $\mathcal{C} = S(\underline{PLBA})$.

7.4. **PROPs for parabolic Lie subalgebras.** In order to describe the module N_+^* it is necessary to deal with the Lie bialgebra object $\mathfrak{p}_-$ or, in other words to introduce the double of $\mathfrak{g}_{D,-}$ and the PROP $D_\oplus(\underline{LBA})$ [EG]. We then introduce the multicolored PROP as a cofiber product of $\underline{\mathrm{PLBA}}$ and $D_\oplus(\underline{LBA})$ over $\underline{\mathrm{LBA}}$.

Proposition. *The multicolored* PROP $\underline{PLBAD}$ *is endowed with canonical functors*

$$D_\oplus(\underline{LBA}) \to \underline{PLBAD} \leftarrow \underline{PLBA}$$

and satisfies the following universal property:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\underline{LBA} \arrow[r, "\text{double}"] \arrow[d] & D_\oplus(\underline{LBA}) \arrow[d] \arrow[ddr, bend left] & \\
\underline{PLBA} \arrow[r] \arrow[drr, bend right] & \underline{PLBAD} \arrow[dr, dashed, "\exists!"] & \\
& & \mathcal{C}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where double *is the* PROP *map introduced in* [EG].

In $\underline{\mathrm{PLBAD}}$ we can consider the Lie bialgebra object $[\mathfrak{p}_-]$.

7.5. **PROPs for parabolic Hopf subalgebras.** Similarly, we introduce the multicolored PROP $\underline{\mathrm{PHAD}}$, endowed with canonical functors (cf. [EG])

$$D_\otimes(HA) \to \underline{PHAD} \leftarrow \underline{PHA}$$

and satisfying an analogous universal property:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\underline{HA} \arrow[r, "\text{double}"] \arrow[d] & D_\otimes(\underline{HA}) \arrow[d] \arrow[ddr, bend left] & \\
\underline{PHA} \arrow[r] \arrow[drr, bend right] & \underline{PHAD} \arrow[dr, dashed, "\exists!"] & \\
& & \mathcal{C}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Moreover, we then have a canonical functor

$$Q_{\underline{PLBAD}} : \underline{PHAD} \to S(\underline{PLBAD})$$

obtained applying such universal property with $\mathcal{C} = S(\underline{PLBAD})$ and satisfying

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \underline{HA} \arrow[rr, "\text{double}"] \arrow[dl, "L_{\underline{HA}}"] \arrow[dd, "Q^{EK}"] & & D_{\otimes}(\underline{HA}) \arrow[dl] \arrow[dd, "Q_2"] \\
\underline{PHA} \arrow[rr] \arrow[dd, "Q_{\underline{PLBA}}"] & & \underline{PHAD} \arrow[dd, "Q_{\underline{PLBAD}}"] & \\
& S(\underline{LBA}) \arrow[rr, "S(\text{double})"] \arrow[dl] & & S(D_{\oplus}(\underline{LBA})) \arrow[dl] \\
S(\underline{PLBA}) \arrow[rr] & & S(\underline{PLBAD}) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The commutativity of the square on the back is given by the compatibility of the quantization functor with the doubling operations, proved in [EG].

7.6. Prop **description of L_-, N_+^*.** The modules L_-, N_+^* can be realized in $\underline{\text{PLBAD}}$. The module L_- is constructed over the object $S\mathfrak{m}_- \in \underline{PLBA}$. The structure of Drinfeld-Yetter module over $\mathfrak{g}_-$ is determined in the following way:

(i) the free action of the Lie algebra object $\mathfrak{m}_-$ is defined by the multiplication maps

$$S\mathfrak{m}_- \otimes S\mathfrak{m}_- \to S\mathfrak{m}_-$$

given by Campbell-Hausdorff series.

(ii) we define the action of $\mathfrak{g}_{D,-}$ to be trivial on $\mathbf{1} \to S\mathfrak{m}_-$.

(iii) The actions of $\mathfrak{m}_-, \mathfrak{g}_{D,-}$, the relation

$$\pi \circ ([,] \otimes 1) = \pi \circ (1 \otimes \pi) - \pi \circ (1 \otimes \pi) \circ \sigma_{12}$$

and the map $[,] : \mathfrak{g}_{D,-} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_- \to \mathfrak{m}_-$ define the action of $\mathfrak{g}_-$.

(iv) We then impose the trivial coaction on $\mathbf{1} \to S\mathfrak{m}_-$ and the compatibility condition

$$\pi^*|_{\mathfrak{m}_-} = \pi^* \circ \pi = (1 \otimes \pi)\sigma_{12}(1 \otimes \pi^*) - (1 \otimes \pi)(\delta \otimes 1) + (\mu \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \pi^*)$$

determines it for $S\mathfrak{m}_-$.

(v) The action defined at (iv) is compatible with $[,] : \mathfrak{g}_{D,-} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_- \to \mathfrak{m}_-$

Similarly, the module N_+^* can be realized on $\widehat{S\mathfrak{p}_-}$, formally added to $\underline{\text{PLBAD}}$.

7.7. **Proof of Theorem 6.3.** The relative Verma module

$$N_+ = \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{m}_-}^{\mathfrak{g}} \mathsf{k} \simeq \mathrm{ind}_{\mathfrak{p}_-}^{\mathfrak{g}} U\mathfrak{g}_D$$

satisfies

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U\mathfrak{g}}(N_+, V) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{U\mathfrak{p}_-}(U\mathfrak{g}_D, V)$$

for every $U\mathfrak{g}$-module V. We have a canonical map of $\mathfrak{p}_-$-modules $\rho_D : U\mathfrak{g}_D \to N_+$ corresponding to the identity in the case $V = N_+$. We get a map of $\mathfrak{p}_-$-modules $\rho_D^* : N_+^* \to U\mathfrak{g}_D^*$ inducing an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U\mathfrak{g}}(V, N_+^*) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{U\mathfrak{p}_-}(V, U\mathfrak{g}_D^*)$$

The morphism ρ_D^* can indeed be thought as

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U\mathfrak{p}_- \otimes N_+^* \arrow[r] \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] & N_+^* \arrow[d, "\rho_D^*"] \\
U\mathfrak{g}_D \otimes U\mathfrak{g}_D^* \arrow[r] & U\mathfrak{g}_D^*
\end{tikzcd}$$

Assuming the existence of a suitable finite $\mathbb{N}$–grading, a split pair of Lie bialgebras $(\mathfrak{g}_-, \mathfrak{g}_{D,-})$, gives rise to a functor

$$\underline{PLBAD} \to \mathrm{Vect}$$

Consider now the trivial split pair given by $(\mathfrak{g}_{D,-}, \mathfrak{g}_{D,-})$. We have a natural transformation

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\underline{PLBAD} \arrow[r, bend left=50, "{(\mathfrak{g}_-,\mathfrak{g}_{D,-})}", ""{name=U, below}] \arrow[r, bend right=50, "{(\mathfrak{g}_{D,-},\mathfrak{g}_{D,-})}"', ""{name=L}] & \mathrm{Vect}
\arrow[from=U, to=L, Rightarrow, "p"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where p naturally extends to the projection $\mathfrak{p}_- \to \mathfrak{g}_D$.

The module $U(\mathfrak{g}_D)^*$ is indeed the module N_+^* with respect to the trivial pair $(\mathfrak{g}_{D,-}, \mathfrak{g}_{D,-})$. Consequently, the existence of the $\mathfrak{p}_-$–intertwiner ρ_D^* can be interpreted as a simple consequence of the existence of natural transformation p.

The quantization functor $Q_{\underline{PLBAD}}$ extends the natural transformation p to

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\underline{PHAD} \arrow[r] \arrow[rr, bend left=60] \arrow[rr, bend right=60] & S(\underline{PLBAD}) \arrow[r, bend left=40, "{(\mathfrak{g}_-,\mathfrak{g}_{D,-})}", ""{name=U, below}] \arrow[r, bend right=40, "{(\mathfrak{g}_{D,-},\mathfrak{g}_{D,-})}"', ""{name=L}] & \mathrm{Vect}
\arrow[from=U, to=L, Rightarrow, "S(p)"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

and shows that

$$F(N_+^*) \simeq (N_+^{\hbar})^*$$

Similarly, we can consider the natural transformation $S(i)$ and the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\underline{PHAD} \arrow[r] \arrow[rr, bend left=60] \arrow[rr, bend right=60] & S(\underline{PLBAD}) \arrow[r, bend left, "{(\mathfrak{g}_-,\mathfrak{g}_{D,-})}", ""{name=U, below}] \arrow[r, bend right, "{(\mathfrak{g}_{D,-},\mathfrak{g}_{D,-})}"', ""{name=L, above}] & \mathrm{Vect} \arrow[from=L, to=U, Rightarrow, "S(i)"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

implying

$$F(L_-) \simeq L_-^\hbar$$

We can make analogous consideration for the right $\mathfrak{g}_D$–action on L_-, N_+^*. This leads to isomorphisms of right $U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_D$–modules

$$F_D^{EK}(N_+^*) \simeq (N_+^\hbar)^* \qquad F_D^{EK}(L_-) \simeq L_-^\hbar$$

8. Chains of Manin triples

8.1. **Chains of length 2.** In Section 6, given an inclusion of Manin triples $i_D : \mathfrak{g}_D \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$, we introduced the relative quantum Verma modules

$$L_-^\hbar = \mathrm{Ind}_{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{p}_+}^{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}} \mathsf{k}[[h]] \qquad N_+^\hbar = \mathrm{Ind}_{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{p}_-}^{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}} U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_D$$

These modules allow to define the functor

$$\Gamma_\hbar : \mathrm{Rep}(U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathrm{Rep}(U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_D)$$

by

$$\Gamma_\hbar(\mathcal{V}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}}(L_-^\hbar, (N_+^\hbar)^* \otimes \mathcal{V})$$

This functor is naturally isomorphic to $U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}(i_D)^*$. Moreover, this is trivially a tensor isomorphism. We now prove the following

Theorem. *Let $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_D$ be Manin triples with a finite $\mathbb{Z}$–grading and $i_D : \mathfrak{g}_D \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ an inclusion of Manin triples compatible with the grading. Then, there exists an algebra isomorphism*

$$\Psi : \widehat{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}} \to \widehat{U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]}$$

restricting to Ψ_D^{EK} on $\widehat{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_D}$, where the completion is given with respect to Drinfeld–Yetter modules.

Proof. In the previous section, we showed that the quantization of the $(U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}, U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_D)$–modules N_+^*, L_- gives

$$F^{EK}(N_+^*) \xrightarrow{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}} (N_+^\hbar)^* \xleftarrow{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_D} F_D^{EK}(N_+^*)$$

$$F^{EK}(L_-) \xrightarrow{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}} L_-^\hbar \xleftarrow{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_D} F_D^{EK}(L_-)$$

Recall that the standard natural transformations $\alpha_V : F^{EK}(V) \simeq V[[\hbar]]$, $(\alpha_D)_V : F_D^{EK}(V) \simeq V[[\hbar]]$ give isomorphisms of right $U\mathfrak{g}_D[[\hbar]]$–modules

$$F^{EK}(N_+^*) \simeq N_+^*[[\hbar]] \qquad F^{EK}(L_-) \simeq L_-[[\hbar]]$$

and isomorphisms of $U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]$–modules

$$F_D^{EK}(N_+^*) \simeq N_+^*[[\hbar]] \qquad F_D^{EK}(L_-) \simeq L_-[[\hbar]]$$

In particular, we get isomorphisms of right $U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_D$–modules

$$F_D^{EK}\circ F^{EK}(N_+^*) \simeq F_D^{EK}(N_+^*) \simeq (N_+^\hbar)^* \qquad F_D^{EK}\circ F^{EK}(L_-) \simeq F_D^{EK}(L_-) \simeq L_-^\hbar$$

and isomorphisms of $U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}$–modules

$$F_D^{EK}\circ F^{EK}(N_+^*) \simeq F^{EK}(N_+^*) \simeq (N_+^\hbar)^* \qquad F_D^{EK}\circ F^{EK}(L_-) \simeq F^{EK}(L_-) \simeq L_-^\hbar$$

We have a natural isomorphism through J:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}}(F(L_-), F(N_+^*) \otimes F(V)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(L_-, N_+^* \otimes V)[[\hbar]]$$

This is indeed an isomorphism of $U\mathfrak{g}_D[[\hbar]]$–modules, since, for $x \in U\mathfrak{g}_D$, $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}}(F(L_-), F(N_+^*) \otimes F(V))$, we have

$$x.\phi := (F(x) \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \phi \qquad J \circ (F(x) \otimes \mathrm{id})) = F(x \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ J$$

Quantizing both side and using the isomorphism $F_D^{EK} \circ F(N_+^*) \simeq (N_+^\hbar)^*$, we obtain a natural transformation

$$\gamma_D : \Gamma_\hbar \circ F^{EK} \simeq F_D^{EK} \circ \Gamma$$

making the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Rep}_\Phi(U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]) \arrow[r, "F^{EK}"] \arrow[d, "\Gamma"'] & \mathrm{Rep}(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}) \arrow[d, "\Gamma^\hbar"] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "\gamma_D"'] \\
\mathrm{Rep}_{\Phi_D}(U\mathfrak{g}_D[[\hbar]]) \arrow[r, "F_D^{EK}"] & \mathrm{Rep}(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_D)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Applying the construction above to the algebra of endomorphisms of the fiber functor, we get the result. $\square$

8.2. **Chains of arbitrary length.** For any chain

$$\mathbf{C} : 0 = \mathfrak{g}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{n-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_n = \mathfrak{g}$$

of inclusions of Manin triples, the natural transformations

$$\gamma_{i,i+1} \in \mathsf{Nat}_\otimes(\Gamma_{i,i+1}^\hbar \circ F_{i+1}^{EK}, F_i^{EK} \circ \Gamma_{i,i+1})$$

where $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, $\Gamma_{0,1} : \mathcal{D}_\Phi(\mathfrak{g}_1) \to \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathsf{k}[[\hbar]]}$ is the EK fiber functor, and $F_0^{\mathrm{EK}} = \mathrm{id}$, yield a natural transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\mathbf{C}} &= \gamma_{0,1} \circ \cdots \circ \gamma_{n-1,n} \\ &\in \mathsf{Nat}_\otimes(\Gamma^\hbar_{0,1} \circ \cdots \circ \Gamma^\hbar_{n-1,n} \circ F_n^{EK}, \Gamma_{0,1} \circ \cdots \circ \Gamma_{n-1,n}) \\ &\cong \mathsf{Nat}_\otimes((i^*_{0,n})^\hbar \circ F_n^{EK}, \Gamma_{0,1} \circ \cdots \circ \Gamma_{n-1,n}) \\ &= \mathsf{Nat}_\otimes(F_n^{EK}, \Gamma_{0,1} \circ \cdots \circ \Gamma_{n-1,n}) \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\Gamma^\hbar_{i,i+1} \cong (i^*_{i,i+1})^\hbar$, and the fact that the composition $(i^*_{0,n})^\hbar \circ F_n^{EK}$ is the EK fiber functor for $\mathfrak{g}_n$, which we denote by the same symbol as F_n^{EK}.

This proves the following

Theorem.

(i) *For any chain of Manin triples*

$$\mathbf{C} : \mathfrak{g}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$$

there exists an isomorphism of algebras

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{C}} : \widehat{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}} \to \widehat{U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]}$$

such that $\Psi_{\mathbf{C}}(\widehat{U_\hbar^{\mathrm{EK}}\mathfrak{g}_i}) = \widehat{U\mathfrak{g}_i[[\hbar]]}$ *for any* $\mathfrak{g}_i \in \mathbf{C}$.

(ii) *Given two chains* $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}'$, *the natural transformation*

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{C}\mathbf{C}'} := \gamma^{-1}_{\mathbf{C}'} \circ \gamma_{\mathbf{C}} \in \mathsf{Aut}(F^{\mathrm{EK}})$$

satisfies

$$\mathsf{Ad}(\Phi_{\mathbf{C}\mathbf{C}'})\Psi_{\mathbf{C}'} = \Psi_{\mathbf{C}}$$

9. An equivalence of quasi–Coxeter categories

The following is the main result of this paper.

Theorem. *Let* $\mathfrak{g}$ *be a symmetrizable Kac–Moody algebra. Then the completion* $\widehat{U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}}$ *is isomorphic to a quasi-Coxeter quasitriangular quasibialgebra of type* $D_\mathfrak{g}$ *on the quasitriangular* $D_\mathfrak{g}$*–quasibialgebra*

$$(\widehat{U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]}, \{\widehat{U\mathfrak{g}_D[[\hbar]]}\}, \Delta_0, \{\Phi_D^{\mathrm{KZ}}\}, \{R_D^{\mathrm{KZ}}\})$$

where the completion is taken with respect to the integrable modules in category $\mathcal{O}$.

9.1. **D–structures on Kac–Moody algebras.** Let $\mathsf{A} = (a_{ij})_{i,j\in\mathbf{I}}$ be an irreducible, generalized, symmetrizable Cartan matrix, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(\mathsf{A})$ the corresponding Kac–Moody algebra defined in Section 4. Let $D_\mathfrak{g} = D(\mathsf{A})$ be the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$, that is, the connected graph having $\mathbf{I}$ as vertex set and an edge between i and j if $a_{ij} \neq 0$. For any $i \in \mathbf{I}$, let $\mathfrak{sl}_2^i \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the three–dimensional subalgebra spanned by e_i, f_i, h_i.

Let $\mathbf{J}$ be a nonempty subset of $\mathbf{I}$. Consider the generalized Cartan submatrix of A defined by

$$\mathsf{A}_{\mathbf{J}} = (a_{ij})_{i,j\in\mathbf{J}}$$

We recall the following proposition from [K, Ex.1.2]

Proposition. *Let*

$$\Pi_{\mathbf{J}} := \{\alpha_j \mid j \in \mathbf{J}\} \qquad \Pi^\vee_{\mathbf{J}} := \{h_j \mid j \in \mathbf{J}\}$$

Let $\mathfrak{h}'_{\mathbf{J}}$ *be the subspace of* $\mathfrak{h}$ *generated by* $\Pi^\vee_{\mathbf{J}}$ *and*

$$\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbf{J}} = \bigcap_{j\in\mathbf{J}} \operatorname{Ker}\alpha_j = \{h \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \langle\alpha_j, h\rangle = 0\ \forall j \in \mathbf{J}\}$$

Let $\mathfrak{h}''_{\mathbf{J}}$ *be a supplementary subspace of* $\mathfrak{h}'_{\mathbf{J}} + \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbf{J}}$ *in* $\mathfrak{h}$ *and let*

$$\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{J}} = \mathfrak{h}'_{\mathbf{J}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}''_{\mathbf{J}}$$

Then,

(i) $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{J}}, \Pi_{\mathbf{J}}, \Pi^\vee_{\mathbf{J}})$ *is a realization of the generalized Cartan matrix* $\mathsf{A}_{\mathbf{J}}$*.*

(ii) *The subalgebra* $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{J}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$*, generated by* $\{e_j, f_j\}_{j\in\mathbf{J}}$ *and* $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{J}}$*, is the Kac–Moody algebra associated to the realization* $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{J}}, \Pi_{\mathbf{J}}, \Pi^\vee_{\mathbf{J}})$ *of* $\mathsf{A}_{\mathbf{J}}$*.*

Set

$$Q_{\mathbf{J}} = \sum_{j\in\mathbf{J}} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_j \subset Q \qquad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(\mathsf{A}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in Q} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$$

Then,

(iii)

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{J}} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{J}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha\in Q_{\mathbf{J}}\setminus\{0\}} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$$

Any connected subdiagram $D \subseteq D_{\mathfrak{g}}$ defines a subset $\mathbf{J}_D \subset \mathbf{I}$. The assignment $\mathbf{J} \to \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{J}}$ defines a structure of D–algebra on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Remark. The derived algebra $\mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbf{J}}$ is generated by $\{e_j, f_j, h_j\}_{j\in\mathbf{J}}$, where $h_j = [e_j, f_j]$. Therefore, it does not depend of the choice of the subspace $\mathfrak{h}''_{\mathbf{J}}$ and the D–structure described above coincides with the one provided in [TL4].

Remark. If A is a generalized Cartan matrix of finite type, $\mathfrak{h}''_{\mathbf{J}} = \{0\}$ for any subset $\mathbf{J} \subset \mathbf{I}$. Therefore, the $D_{\mathfrak{g}}$–algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(\mathsf{A})$ is uniquely defined by the subalgebras $\{U\mathfrak{sl}_2^i\}_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$ and the Cartan subalgebra is defined for any subdiagram $D \subset D_{\mathfrak{g}}$ by

$$\mathfrak{h}_D = \{h_i \mid i \in \mathsf{V}(D)\}$$

Similarly, if A is a generalized Cartan matrix of affine type, we obtain diagrammatic Cartan subalgebras $\mathfrak{h}_D$, where

$$\mathfrak{h}_D = \begin{cases} \{h_i \mid i \in \mathsf{V}(D)\} & \text{if} \quad D \subset D_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ \mathfrak{h} & \text{if} \quad D = D_{\mathfrak{g}} \end{cases}$$

Remark. A different, simpler structure of D–algebra on $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by the Levi subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to subdiagrams of $D_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

9.2. **qCqtqba structure on $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$.** The quantum enveloping algebra $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ is naturally endowed with a quasi–Coxeter quasitriangular quasibialgebra structure of type $D_{\mathfrak{g}}$ defined by

(i) **$D_{\mathfrak{g}}$-algebra:** for any $D \in \mathsf{SD}(D_{\mathfrak{g}})$, let $\mathfrak{g}_D \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the corresponding Kac–Moody subalgebra. The D_g-algebra structure is given by the subalgebras $\{U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_D\}$.

(ii) **Quasitriangular quasibialgebra:** the universal R-matrices $\{R_{\hbar,D}\}$, with trivial associators $\Phi_D = 1^{\otimes 3}$ and structural twists $F_{\mathcal{F}} = 1^{\otimes 2}$.

(iii) **Quasi-Coxeter:** the local monodromies are the quantum Weyl group elements. The gauge transformations $\Phi_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}}$ are trivial.

We transfer this qCqtqba structure on $U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]$. More precisely, we define an equivalence of quasi–Coxeter categories between the representation theories of $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ and $U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]$.

9.3. **Gauge transformations for $\mathfrak{g}(\mathsf{A})$.** For any $D \subset D_{\mathfrak{g}}$, the inclusion $\mathfrak{g}_D \subset \mathfrak{g}$, defined in the previous section, lifts to an inclusion of Manin triples

$$\mathfrak{g}_D \oplus \mathfrak{h}_D \subset \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$$

We denote by $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_D = (\mathfrak{g}_D \oplus \mathfrak{h}_D, \mathfrak{b}_{D,+}, \mathfrak{b}_{D,-})$ the Manin triple attached to $\mathfrak{g}_D$, for any $D \subseteq D_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

In this setting, the natural transformations $\gamma_{BB'}$, $B \subseteq B' \subseteq D_{\mathfrak{g}}$ constructed in Section 8, define, by vertical composition, a natural transformation

$$\gamma^{\mathbf{C}}_{BB'} \in \mathsf{Nat}_{\otimes}(\Gamma^{\hbar}_{BB'} \circ F^{EK}_{B'}, F^{EK}_{B} \circ \Gamma_{BB'})$$

for any chain of maximal length

$$\mathbf{C} : B = C_0 \subset C_1 \subset \cdots \subset C_r = B'$$

Any chain of maximal length defines uniquely a maximal nested set $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{C}} \in \mathsf{Mns}(B, B')$, but this is not a one to one correspondence. For example, for $D = \mathsf{A}_3$, the maximal nested set

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\{\alpha_1\}, \{\alpha_3\}, \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}\}$$

corresponds to two different chains of maximal length

$$\mathbf{C}_1 : \{\alpha_1\} \subset \{\alpha_1\} \sqcup \{\alpha_3\} \subset \mathsf{A}_3 \qquad \mathbf{C}_2 : \{\alpha_3\} \subset \{\alpha_1\} \sqcup \{\alpha_3\} \subset \mathsf{A}_3$$

In order to prove that the natural transformations γ define a morphism of braided $D_{\mathfrak{g}}$–monoidal categories, we need to prove that the transformation $\gamma^{\mathbf{C}}_{BB'}$ depend only on the maximal nested set corresponding to $\mathbf{C}$.

In particular, we have to prove that, for any $B_1 \perp B_2$ in $I(D)$, the construction of the fiber functor

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathcal{C}_{B_1 \sqcup B_2} \arrow[dl, "F_{B_2, B_1 \sqcup B_2}"'] \arrow[dr, "F_{B_1, B_1 \sqcup B_2}"] \arrow[dd] & \\
\mathcal{C}_{B_1} \arrow[dr, "F_{B_1}"'] & & \mathcal{C}_{B_2} \arrow[dl, "F_{B_2}"] \\
& \mathcal{C}_{\emptyset} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

is independent of the choice of the chain. In our case,

$$\mathcal{C}_{B_1 \sqcup B_2} = \mathrm{Rep}(U\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{B_1}[[\hbar]] \otimes U\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{B_2}[[\hbar]])$$

and the braided tensor structure is given by product of the braided tensor structures on

$$\mathcal{C}_{B_1} = \mathrm{Rep}_{\Phi_{B_1}}(U\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{B_1}[[\hbar]]) \qquad \mathcal{C}_{B_2} = \mathrm{Rep}_{\Phi_{B_2}}(U\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{B_2}[[\hbar]])$$

Similarly, the tensor structure on the forgetful functor

$$\mathcal{C}_{B_1 \sqcup B_2} \to \mathcal{C}_{B_i} \qquad i = 1, 2$$

is obtained killing the tensor structure on $\mathcal{C}_{B_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, *i.e.,* applying the tensor structure on $\mathcal{C}_{B_i} \to \mathcal{C}_{\emptyset}$. In particular, the tensor structure on $F_{B_1} \circ F_{B_1, B_1 \sqcup B_2}$ and $F_{B_2} \circ F_{B_2, B_1 \sqcup B_2}$ coincide, since $[\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{B_1}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{B_2}] = 0$.

Analogously we have an equality of natural transformation

$$\gamma_{B_1} \circ \gamma_{B_1, B_1 \sqcup B_2} = \gamma_{B_2} \circ \gamma_{B_2, B_1 \sqcup B_2}$$

Therefore, for any maximal nested set $\mathcal{F} \in \mathsf{Mns}(B, B')$, it is well defined a natural transformation

$$\gamma^{\mathcal{F}}_{BB'} \in \mathsf{Nat}_{\otimes}(\Gamma^{\hbar}_{BB'} \circ F^{EK}_{B'}, F^{EK}_{B} \circ \Gamma_{BB'})$$

so that the data $(\{F^{EK}_B\}, \{\gamma^{\mathcal{F}}_{BB'}\})$ define an isomorphism of D–categories from $\{\mathrm{Rep}_{\Phi_B}(U\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_B[[\hbar]])\}$ to $\{\mathrm{Rep}(U_\hbar\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_B)\}$. More precisely,

Theorem. *There exists an isomorphism of braided $D_{\mathfrak{g}}$–monoidal categories from*

$$(\{(\mathrm{Rep}_{\Phi_B}(U\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_B[[\hbar]]), \otimes_B, \Phi_B, \sigma R_B)\}, \{(\Gamma_{BB'}, J^{BB'}_{\mathcal{F}})\})$$

to

$$(\{(\mathrm{Rep}(U_\hbar\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_B), \otimes_B, \mathrm{id}, \sigma R^{\hbar}_B)\}, \{(\Gamma^{\hbar}_{BB'}, \mathrm{id})\})$$

given by $(\{F^{EK}_B\}, \{\gamma^{\mathcal{F}}_{BB'}\})$.

9.4. **Reduction to category $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{int}}$.** We showed in Section 4, the the Etingof–Kazhdan functor gives rise, by restriction, to an equivalence of categories

$$F^{EK}:\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}}[[\hbar]]\to\mathcal{O}_{U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}}$$

We will show now that this equivalence can be further restricted to integrable modules in category $\mathcal{O}$, *i.e.,* modules in category $\mathcal{O}$ with a locally nilpotent action of the elements $\{e_i,f_i\}_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$ (respectively E_i,F_i).

Proposition. *There exists an equivalence of braided tensor categories*

$$F^{EK}:\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathfrak{g}}[[\hbar]]\to\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{int}}_{U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}}$$

which is isomorphic to the identity functor at the level of $\mathfrak{h}$–graded $\mathsf{k}[[\hbar]]$–modules.

Proof. Let $V\in\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Then, the elements e_i,f_i for $i\in\mathbf{I}$ act nilpotently on V. Then, by [K], for all $\lambda\in\mathsf{P}(V)$, there exist $p,q\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0}$ such that

$$\{t\in\mathbb{Z}\mid\lambda+t\alpha_i\in\mathsf{P}(V)\}=[-p,q]$$

Since the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ is not deformed by the quantization, the functor F^{EK} preserves the weight decomposition. In $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$, for any $h\in\mathfrak{h}$ and $i\in\mathbf{I}$, we have

$$[h,E_i]=\alpha_i(h)E_i$$

Therefore the action of the E_i's on V is locally nilpotent. The action of the F_i's is always locally nilpotent, since

$$\mathsf{P}(V)\subset\bigcup_{s=1}^{r}\mathsf{D}(\lambda_s)$$

The result follows. $\square$

Corollary. *There exists an isomorphism of $D_{\mathfrak{g}}$–algebras*

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}:\widehat{U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}}\to\widehat{U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]}$$

such that $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_{D_i}})=\widehat{U\mathfrak{g}_{D_i}[[\hbar]]}$ for any $D_i\in\mathcal{F}$, where the completion is taken with respect to the integrable modules in category $\mathcal{O}$.

9.5. **Quasi–Coxeter structure.** The previous isomorphism of braided $D_{\mathfrak{g}}$–monoidal categories induces on

$$(\{(\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{int}}_{\mathfrak{g}_B}[[\hbar]],\otimes_B,\Phi_B,\sigma R_B)\},\{(\Gamma_{BB'},J^{BB'}_{\mathcal{F}})\})$$

a structure of quasi–Coxeter category of tipe D, given, for any $B\subsetneq B'$ in $I(D)$, $\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}\in\mathsf{Mns}(B,B')$ and $i\in D$, by

$$\begin{cases} H_B(\Phi_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}}) &= (\gamma^{\mathcal{F}}_{BB'})^{-1}\circ\gamma^{\mathcal{G}}_{BB'}\\ S_i &= \Psi_i(S_i^{\hbar})\end{cases}$$

where $\Psi_i:\mathsf{End}(\Gamma_i^{\hbar})\to\mathsf{End}(\Gamma_i)$.

Theorem. *$\widehat{U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}}$ is isomorphic to a quasi-Coxeter quasitriangular quasibialgebra of type $D_\mathfrak{g}$ on the quasitriangular $D_\mathfrak{g}$-quasibialgebra*

$$(\widehat{U\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]}, \{\widehat{U\mathfrak{g}_D[[\hbar]]}\}, \Delta_0, \{\Phi_D^{\mathrm{KZ}}\}, \{R_D^{\mathrm{KZ}}\})$$

9.5.1. *Local monodromies.* Clearly, the local monodromies S_i satisfy

$$\Delta_\mathcal{F}(S_i) = (R_i)_\mathcal{F}^{21} \cdot (S_i \otimes S_i)$$

since $\Psi_\mathcal{F}$ is given by an isomorphism of braided D–monoidal categories and therefore

$$\Psi_\mathcal{F}((R_i^\hbar)_\mathcal{F}) = (R_i)_\mathcal{F}$$

Similarly, the braid relations are easily satisfied, since

$$\mathsf{Ad}(\Phi_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}})\Psi_\mathcal{F} = \Psi_\mathcal{G}$$

9.5.2. *Associators.* The associators $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}}$ defined above satisfy the required properties.

(i) **Orientation** For any elementary pair $(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G})$ in $\mathsf{Mns}(B,B')$

$$H_B(\Phi_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}}) = (\gamma_{BB'}^{\mathcal{F}})^{-1} \circ \gamma_{BB'}^{\mathcal{G}} = \big(H_B(\Phi_{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}})\big)^{-1}$$

(ii) **Coherence** For any $\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G},\mathcal{H} \in \mathsf{Mns}(B,B')$

$$H_B(\Phi_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}}) = (\gamma_{BB'}^{\mathcal{F}})^{-1}\gamma_{BB'}^{\mathcal{H}} \circ (\gamma_{BB'}^{\mathcal{H}})^{-1} \circ \gamma_{BB'}^{\mathcal{G}} = H_B(\Phi_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{H}}) \circ H_B(\Phi_{\mathcal{H}\mathcal{G}})$$

This property implies the coherence.

(iii) **Support** The natural transformations $\gamma^\mathcal{F}, \gamma^\mathcal{G}$ coincide above $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G})$ and below $\mathfrak{z}\,\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G})$. The support condition of $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}}$ follows.

(iv) **Forgetfulness** Given two equivalent elementary pairs $(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}), (\mathcal{F}',\mathcal{G}')$ in $\mathsf{Mns}(B,B')$, $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}}$ and $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}'\mathcal{G}'}$ are defined by the same elementary operation from $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}) = \mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F}',\mathcal{G}')$ and $\mathfrak{z}\,\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}) = \mathfrak{z}\,\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F}',\mathcal{G}')$ and satisy therefore the forgetfulness property.

Moreover, the associators clearly are gauge transformations of the tensor fiber functors, because they are given by composition of gauge transformations. This concludes the proof of Theorem 9.5.

References

[ATL2] A. Appel, V. Toledano Laredo, *A rigidity theorem for quasi–Coxeter quasitriangular quasibialgebra structure on affine Kac–Moody algebras.* Preliminary version available at www.math.neu.edu/aappel/Andrea_Appel/Preliminary.html

[ATL3] _____, *Monodromy theorems in the affine setting.* (in preparation)

[DCP1] C. De Concini, C. Procesi, *Wonderful models of subspace arrangements.* Selecta Math. (N. S.) **1** (1995), 459-494.

[DCP2] _____, *Hyperplane arrangements and holonomy equations.* Selecta Math. (N. S.) **1** (1995), 495-535.

[D1] V. G. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, (Berkeley, Calif., 1986), 798–820, Amer. Math. Soc., 1987.

[D2] _____, *Quasi Hopf algebras and the KZ equations*, in Problem of modern QFT (1989), 1-13.

[D3] _____, *Quasi-Hopf Algebras*, Leningrad Math. J. **1** (1990), no. 6.

[D4] _____, *On quasi triangular quasi-Hopf algebras and on a group that is closely connected with* $Gal(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. Leningrad Math. J. **2** (1991): 829-60.

[EG] B. Enriquez, N. Geer, *Compatibility of quantization functors of Lie bialgebras with duality and doubling operations*, Selecta Math. **15** (2009), 1-59.

[EK1] P. Etingof, D. Kazhdan, *Quantization of Lie bialgebras, I*, Selecta Math. **2** (1996), no. 1, 1-41.

[EK2] _____, *Quantization of Lie bialgebras, II*, Selecta Math. **4** (1998), 213-231.

[EK6] _____, *Quantization of Lie bialgebras. VI. Quantization of generalized Kac–Moody algebras*, Transform. Groups **13** (2008), no. 3-4, 527-539.

[K] V. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Cambridge University Press (1991), 3rd edition.

[KR] A. N. Kirillov, N. Reshetikin, *q-Weyl group and a multiplicative formula for universal R-matrices*, Comm. Math. Phys. (1990), no. 3, 537-595.

[K87] T. Kohno, *Monodromy representations of braid groups and Yang-Baxter equations*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **37** (1987), no. 4, 139-160.

[L] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Birkhäuser Boston, 1993.

[S] Y. Soibelman, *Algebra of functions on a compact quantum group and its representations*, Leningrad Math. J. (1991), no. 2, 161-178.

[TL1] V. Toledano Laredo, *Flat connections and quantum groups*, Acta Appl. Math. **73** (2002), no. 1-2, 155-173.

[TL2] _____, *A Kohno-Drinfeld theorem for quantum Weyl groups*, Duke Math. J. **112** (2002), no. 3, 421-451.

[TL3] _____, *Cohomological construction of relative twists*, Adv. Math. **210** (2007), 375-403.

[TL4] _____, *Quasi Coxeter algebras, Dynkin diagram cohomology and quantum groups*, Math. Res. Pap. IMRP **2008**, art. ID rpn009, 167 pp.

[TL5] _____, *Quasi-Coxeter quasi triangular quasibialgebras and the Casimir connection.* (forthcoming)

[TL6] _____, *Affine extension of rational Casimir connection.* (forthcoming)

Department of Mathematics, Northeastern University, 360 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02115

E-mail address: `appel.an@husky.neu.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Northeastern University, 360 Huntington Avenue, Boston MA 02115

E-mail address: `V.ToledanoLaredo@neu.edu`